arXiv:1703.04470v2 [math.AG] 5 Apr 2017

ON CENTRAL LEAVES OF HODGE-TYPE SHIMURA VARIETIES WITH PARAHORIC LEVEL STRUCTURE

WANSU KIM

ABSTRACT. Kisin and Pappas [KP15] constructed integral models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties with parahoric level structure at $p > 2$, such that the formal neighbourhood of a mod p point can be interpreted as a deformation space of p-divisible group with some Tate cycles (generalising Faltings' construction). In this paper, we study the central leaf and the closed Newton stratum in the formal neighbourhoods of mod p points of Kisin-Pappas integral models with parahoric level structure; namely, we obtain the dimension of central leaves and the almost product structure of Newton strata. In the case of hyperspecial level strucure (i.e., in the good reduction case), our main results were already obtained by Hamacher [Ham16a], and the result of this paper holds for ramified groups as well.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation and preliminaries 4
3. The group of tensor-preserving self quasi-isogenies 11
4. Review of Kisin-Pappas deformation rings 15
5. Almost product structure in Kisin-Pappas deformation rings 22
References 28

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a moduli space over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of principally polarised g-dimensional abelian varieties with some prime-to-p level structure, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be the universal abelian scheme over $\mathscr{S}$. Given a geometric closed point $x \in \mathscr{S}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, Oort found a smooth equi-dimensional locally closed subscheme $\mathscr{C}(x) \subset \mathscr{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ containing x, which is the locus where the p-divisible group associated to the universal abelian scheme is "fibrewise constant" (i.e., the geometric fibres of the p-divisible group are all isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$), and its dimension can be explicitly computed in terms of the Newton polygon of $\mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$; *cf.* [Oor04, Theorems 2.2, 3.13], [Cha05, §7]. Such $\mathscr{C}(x)$ is called a *central leaf*.

Oort also showed that by transporting $\mathscr{C}(x)$ by "isogeny correspondences", one can "fill up" the Newton stratum of $\mathscr{S}$ that contains x. A stronger and more precise statement can be formulated as the "almost product structure" of Newton strata; *cf.* [Oor04, Theorem 5.3].

The original motivation of Oort's study of central leaves [Oor04] is to understand "Hecke orbits" in $\mathscr{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. Later, Mantovan [Man02, Man05] found an interesting application of the PEL generalisation of the almost product structure to the study of the cohomology of compact PEL Shimura varieties at hyperspecial level at p, which is often referred to as *Mantovan's formula*. (Roughly speaking, Mantovan's

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L05, 14G35.
Key words and phrases. Shimura varieties of Hodge type, deformations of p-divisible groups.

formula is the cohomological consequence of the almost product structure, if it is interpreted in terms of "Igusa towers" over central leaves and Rapoport-Zink spaces.)

Now, let us consider an integral canonical model $\mathscr{S}$ of a Hodge-type Shimura variety at *hyperspecial* level at $p > 2$. Then generalising the definitions of Igusa towers and Rapoport-Zink spaces (together with all the expected group actions) turns out to be not so trivial in this setting, because $\mathscr{S}$ does not carry a convenient moduli interpretation, unlike the PEL case. (See [HP15] for the construction of Hodge-type Rapoport-Zink spaces and the relationship to Hodge-type Shimura varieties, which is a simplification of the original construction in [Kim13, Kim15]. For Hodge-type Igusa varieties, see [Ham16b].) With these basic definitions in place, Hamacher [Ham16b] was able to prove the unramified Hodge-type generalisation of the almost product structure.

It is worth noting that these basic constructions for the unramified Hodge-type case crucially uses Kisin's study of isogeny classes of mod p points of the integral canonical models; namely, the existence of a natural map from certain affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties to the set of mod p points of the integral canonical models (*cf.* [Kis13, Proposition 1.4.4]), which is a highly non-trivial result unlike the PEL case. Also, the strategy of proving the almost product structure for unramified Hodge-type Shimura varieties is to study the closed Newton stratum in the deformation space of p-divisible groups with Tate cycles [Ham16a, Proposition 4.6], and globalise it using the result on isogeny classes of mod p points of Shimura varieties [Kis13, Proposition 1.4.4].

Now, let $(G, \{h\})$ denote a Hodge-type Shimura datum, and let p be an odd prime such that p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ splits after a tame extension (but not necessarily unramified). Then Kisin and Pappas [KP15] constructed integral models $\mathscr{S}$ of Shimura varieties for $(G, \{h\})$ with parahoric level structure at p, whose formal completions at closed points are isomorphic to the formal completions of the local models constructed by Pappas and Zhu [PZ13]. Although this integral model does not carry any convenient moduli interpretation, the formal completions of $\mathscr{S}$ at closed points have a nice interpretation as the deformation spaces of p-divisible groups with certain cycles (generalising the case of hyperspecial levels); *cf.* [KP15, Corollary 4.2.4].

Along the way, Kisin and Pappas defined a "universal deformation" of p-divisible groups with Tate cycles over the completed local ring of certain Pappas-Zhu local models (*cf.* [KP15, §3]), which can be viewed as a generalisation of Faltings' construction in the unramified case (*cf.* [Fal99, §7], [Moo98, §4]). The goal of this paper is to generalise Hamacher's results [Ham16a] on central leaves and the closed Newton strata of Faltings deformation spaces to the "Kisin-Pappas deformation spaces" (which can be defined for certain ramified groups).

Let us now explain our deformation-theoretic result under the following global setting (which is the motivating case). Let $(G, \{h\})$ denote a Hodge-type Shimura datum, and choose $p > 2$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ splits after a tame extension. Assume that p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})$. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be an integral model of a Shimura variety for $(G, \{h\})$ with parahoric level structure at p constructed by Kisin and Pappas, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be the principally polarised abelian scheme over $\mathscr{S}$ corresponding to a finite unramified map from $\mathscr{S}$ into some Siegel modular variety inducing a closed immersion on the generic fibres. Let $\bar{\mathscr{S}}$ denote the base change of the special fibre of $\mathscr{S}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Let $x \in \bar{\mathscr{S}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Then over the perfection $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x})^{p^{-\infty}}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x}$ we have an F-isocrystal with G-structure in the sense of [RR96, Definition 3.3] coming from

the relative crystalline homology of $\mathscr{A}$ and the "crystalline realisation" of absolute Hodge cycles on the generic fibre of $\mathscr{A}$; *cf.* Lemma 5.2.4. In particular, we can associate to x a σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugacy class $[b]$, and it is possible to define the Newton stratification on $\operatorname{Spec}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x}$. Let $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x}$ denote the closed Newton stratum.

Let us now "preview" the main results of this paper. (We refer to the cited theorems for the precise statement.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x \in \bar{\mathscr{S}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and consider the reduced locally closed subscheme $\mathscr{C} := \mathscr{C}(x) \subset \bar{\mathscr{S}}$ whose geometric points $\bar{y}$ are exactly those such that $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{y}}[p^\infty]$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$. Then we have the following:*

1. *(Corollary 5.3.1) $\mathscr{C}$ is smooth of equidimension $\langle 2\rho, \nu_{[b]}\rangle$, where 2ρ is the sum of positive roots of G and $\nu_{[b]}$ is defined in Proposition 3.1.4.*
2. *(Corollary 5.2.6) Let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}} := \operatorname{Spec}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathscr{C},x} \subset \operatorname{Spec}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x}$, and let $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{S}},x}$ denote the "isogeny leaf" (*cf. *the paragraph above Theorem 5.2.5). Then we have a natural isomorphism of perfect schemes*
$$\pi_\infty : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}},$$
compatible with the case when $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ in [Ham16a, Corollary 4.4].

If $\mathscr{S}$ is a Siegel modular variety, then Theorem 1.1(2) is a consequence of the "almost product strurcure of the Newton strata" [Oor04, Theorem 5.3], and there are number of different proofs of Theorem 1.1(1), for which we refer to [Oor09] and references therein. Some proofs for the Siegel case can be generalised to the case of PEL Shimura varieties at hyperspecial level at p. If $\mathscr{S}$ is an integral canonical model of Hodge-type Shimura varieties with hyperspecial level at $p > 2$, then this theorem was obtained by Hamacher [Ham16a]. On the other hand, the author is not aware if the dimension of $\mathscr{C}$ was obtained in the ramified PEL case in the literature.

There is a parallel story for the local field of characteristic p, where local shtukas play the role of p-divisible groups. Under some additional hypothesis on $\mathcal{G}$, the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the deformation space of $\mathcal{G}$-shtukas is already available; for example, [HV12] when $\mathcal{G}$ is a split reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_q[[z]]$, and Viehmann and Wu [VW16] when $\mathcal{G}$ is unramified (i.e., reductive over $\mathbb{F}_q[[z]]$). The global function field analogue of Theorem 1.1 (for the function field analogue of Shimura varieties) was also obtained by Neupert [Neu16, Main Theorem 1] under a certain restrictions on the group. It is quite plausible that these result could be generalised to allow certain ramification on the group $\mathcal{G}$.

Let us remark on the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbb{X} := \mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$, equipped with the crystalline Tate cycles (s_α) (which come from the absolutely Hodge cycles of the generic fibre of $\mathscr{A}$). The key construction in the proof is the following:

Proposition 1.2 (Corollary 3.2.2, Proposition 3.2.4)**.** *There exists a formal group scheme $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X})$, flat over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ with perfect special fibre, which satisfies the following property:*

1. *For any f-semiperfect ring R, $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X})(R)$ is naturally isomorphic to the group of self quasi-isogenies of $\mathbb{X}_R$ preserving the tensors (s_α) in the sense of Definition 3.1.2.*
2. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}) \cong \mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$, where $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}) \cong \operatorname{Spf}\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[x_1^{p^{-\infty}}, \cdots, x_d^{p^{-\infty}}]]$ as a formal scheme. Here, $d := \langle 2\rho, \nu_{[b]}\rangle$ as in Theorem 1.1(1).*

In the PEL case, the formal group scheme $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X})$ was constructed in the work of Caraiani and Scholze [CS15, §4.2], which was denoted as $\mathrm{Aut}_G(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}})$ in *loc. cit.*

It turns out that the connected component $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ of $\mathrm{Qisg}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ acts on the formal completion $\widehat{\mathscr{I}_x}$; *cf.* Theorem 4.3.1. We obtain Theorem 1.1 by interpreting the central leaf and the closed Newton stratum in $\mathrm{Spec}\,\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\mathscr{I}},x}$ in terms of the $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$-orbits of the closed point and the isogeny leaf, respectively; *cf.* Theorems 5.1.3, 5.2.5. (In the unramified PEL case, this strategy to obtain the dimension of the central leaves was mentioned in [CS15, Remark 4.2.13].) It seems that the $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$-action on $\widehat{\mathscr{I}_x}$ could be of separate interest; *cf.* Remark 4.3.7.

Our motivation for Theorem 1.1, especially (2), is to generalise the almost product structure of Newton strata in the integral models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties at odd "tame" primes, generalising the result of Hamacher's [Ham16b]. As alluded earlier, however, in order to define Rapoport-Zink spaces and Igusa varieties we need to have some control of each isogeny class of mod p points of $\mathscr{S}$ in terms of some union of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties. Although this desired result is not known in the full generality, there are some cases where we can obtain it; *cf.* [Kis13, Proposition 1.4.4], [HZ16, Theorem 0.2]. (See Remark 5.3.3 for more discussions.) In the joint work in progress with Hamacher [HK17], we plan to generalise the almost product structure [Ham16b] (allowing $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to be "tamely ramified") and try to study the cohomological consequence, assuming a certain natural conjecture on isogeny classes of mod p points of Hodge-type Shimura varieties.

In §2 we review some group-theoretic and (semi-)linear algebraic background. In §3 we introduce the group of tensor-preserving self quasi-isogenies $\mathrm{Qisg}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ and prove some basic properties stated in Proposition 1.2. In §4, we review the "Kisin-Pappas deformation theory" [KP15, §3], and show that the connected component of the tensor-preserving self quasi-isogeny group $\mathrm{Qisg}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ acts on "Kisin-Pappas deformation spaces"; *cf.* Theorem 4.3.1. In §5 we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Paul Hamacher for many helpful discussions. He also thanks Julien Hauseux for his help with group theory at the proof of Proposition 3.1.4. This work is supported by the EPSRC (Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council) in the form of EP/L025302/1.

2. Notation and preliminaries

For a finite extension E over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we write $\breve{E} := \widehat{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}$.

2.1. **Review of parahoric groups and extended affine Weyl groups.**

Definition 2.1.1. Let G be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and we fix a point $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ in the extended Bruhat-Tits building. For any algebraic field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ that is finitely ramified, we view $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, K)$ via the natural embedding $\mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(G, K)$. Then we obtain the following smooth $\mathbb{Z}_p$-models of G:

(1) The *Bruhat-Tits group scheme* $\mathcal{G}(= \mathcal{G}_x)$, which has the property that $\mathcal{G}_x(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}) \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}})$ is the stabiliser of $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}})$.
(2) The *parahoric group scheme* $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}(= \mathcal{G}^{\circ}_x)$, which is the open subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ with connected special fibre.

Note that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the full stabiliser of $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, as well as the full stabiliser of the interior of the facet containing x.

We write $\mathsf{K} := \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathsf{K}^{\circ} := \mathcal{G}^{\circ}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\breve{\mathsf{K}} := \mathcal{G}(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$, and $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^{\circ} := \mathcal{G}^{\circ}(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

For any connected reductive group G over $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, Kottwitz [Kot97, (7.1.1)] defined a natural surjective homomorphism

$$\kappa_G : G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(G)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} (= X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})), \tag{2.1.2}$$

which is functorial in G, where $\pi_1(G)$ is the algebraic fundamental group and $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the inertia group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Note that κ_G can be concretely described when G is a torus (*cf.* [Kot97, §7.2]). Since κ_G is required to be functorial in G and κ_G should be trivial if G is simply connected and semi-simple (as $\pi_1(G) = 0$), the torus case uniquely determines κ_G for any connected reductive group G over $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (*cf.* [Kot97, §7.3]).

We set

$$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 := \ker(\kappa_G). \tag{2.1.3}$$

Returning to the setting of Definition 2.1.1 (so G is now a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$), let us recall some basic facts:

(1) We have $\mathsf{K}^\circ = \mathsf{K} \cap G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ and $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ = \breve{\mathsf{K}} \cap G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$; *cf.* [HR12, Appendix, Proposition 3, Remark 11]. In other words, K° and $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ are parahoric subgroups in the sense of [HR12, Appendix, Definition 1].

(2) If $G = T$ is a torus, then we have $T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 = \mathcal{T}^\circ(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$, where $\mathcal{T}^\circ$ is the connected Néron model of T. It turns out that $T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ is the unique parahoric subgroup of $T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, and we have the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \longrightarrow T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \xrightarrow{\kappa_T} X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In general, $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ is generated by the parahoric subgroups of $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Let us now make a convenient choice of maximal torus $T \subset G$ as follows. Let S be a maximal $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-split torus of G which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and contains a maximal $\mathbb{Q}_p$-split torus. We furthermore arrange the choice of S so that the maximal $\mathbb{Q}_p$-split subtorus of S defines an apartment containing $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let $T := \mathcal{Z}_G(S)$ be the centraliser of S. Since G is quasi-split over $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, it follows that T is a maximal torus of G.

Remark 2.1.4. Let $\mathcal{S}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{T}^\circ$ respectively denote the connected components of the Néron models of S and T. Then by [BT84, Proposition 4.6.4], we have the following closed immersions

$$\mathcal{S}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^\circ \cong \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}^\circ}(\mathcal{S}^\circ) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}^\circ$$

extending the natural maps on the generic fibres. Therefore, it follows that we have

$$T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 = T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \cap \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ,$$

and the inclusion $T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \hookrightarrow \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ comes from a closed embedding of the parahoric group schemes $\mathcal{T}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}^\circ$.

Definition 2.1.5. Let $\mathcal{N}_S \subset G$ denote the normaliser of S in G. Recall that the extended affine Weyl group is defined to be

$$\widetilde{W} := \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1.$$

As S and T are defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the natural σ-action on $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ stabilises $\mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, which defines a σ-action on $\widetilde{W}$. For $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, we write $\dot{\tilde{w}} \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ to denote a lift of $\tilde{w}$.

We define the following subgroup:

$$\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} := (\mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \cap \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ)/T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \subset \widetilde{W}.$$

By [HR12, Appendix, Proposition 12], $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ coincides with the Weyl group of the maximal reductive quotient of $\mathcal{G}^\circ_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ with respect to the maximal torus $\mathcal{S}^\circ_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. In particular, $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ is finite.

Remark 2.1.6. We recall a few basic properties of $\widetilde{W}$ from [HR12, Appendix].

(1) Let $W_0 := \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ denote the Weyl group associated to the relative root system for $G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$. (Note that W_0 is the $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-invariant of the Weyl group of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$.) Then we have a following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{W} \longrightarrow W_0 \longrightarrow 1.$$

If $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a special vertex, then $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ_x}$ maps isomorphically onto W_0 by the natural projection $\widetilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W_0$; *cf.* [HR12, Appendix, Proposition 13]. In particular, we have $\widetilde{W} \cong X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \rtimes W_0$, where the splitting depends on the choice of special vertex.

(2) There is a bijection

$$\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \backslash \widetilde{W} / \widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \backslash G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) / \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \tag{2.1.7}$$

sending $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \tilde{w} \widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ to $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \dot{\tilde{w}} \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$; *cf.* [HR12, Appendix, Proposition 8].

If $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ is an Iwahori subgroup (in which case $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ is a trivial subgroup), the above bijection becomes $\widetilde{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \backslash G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) / \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$. If $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ is a special parahoric sugbroup (in which case we have $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \cong W_0$), the above bijection becomes

$$X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} / W_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \backslash G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) / \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ.$$

In particular, if $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ is hyperspecial (so $X_*(T) = X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and W_0 is the Weyl group for $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$) then the left hand side is in bijection with the set of dominant cocharacters (with respect to some choice of borel subgroup), and the bijection recovers the Cartan decomposition.

2.2. **Review on G-(iso)crystals.**

Definition 2.2.1. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-scheme[1]. For a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{GL}(M)_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$, we say that a grading $\mathtt{gr}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} M)$ is *induced from* μ, if the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} M$ via μ leaves each grading stable and the resulting $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathtt{gr}^a(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} M)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^{-a}} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z\,\mathrm{id}} \mathrm{GL}(\mathtt{gr}^a(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} M)).$$

Let $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p := W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p := W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_\mathbb{Q}$, and we let σ denote the Witt vector Frobenius on $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ and $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

Definition 2.2.2. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a pro-torus with character group $X^*(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{Q}$; i.e., $\mathbf{D} = \varprojlim \mathbb{G}_m$ where the transition maps is the Nth power maps ordered by divisibility.

We now work under the setting introduced in Definition 2.1.1; namely, let G be a *connected* reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\mathcal{G}$ be a Bruhat-Tits integral model of G as in Definition 2.1.1. We set $\breve{\mathsf{K}} := \mathcal{G}(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

Definition 2.2.3. For $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, we let $[b] := \{g^{-1} b \sigma(g),\ \forall g \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)\}$ denote the σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugacy class of b. Similarly, we let $[\![b]\!] := \{g^{-1} b \sigma(g);\ g \in \breve{\mathsf{K}}\}$ denote the σ-$\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ conjugacy class containing b.

If $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$, then the above definition has an interpretation in terms of F-(iso)crystals as follows. For $b, b' \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, two F-isocrystals $(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p^n, b\sigma)$ and $(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p^n, b'\sigma)$ are isomorphic if and only if b and b' are σ-$\mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugate. Similarly, two virtual F-crystals $(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p^n, b\sigma)$ and $(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p^n, b'\sigma)$ are isomorphic if and only if b and b' are

[1] It is often convenient and natural to allow $\mathfrak{X}$ to be an analytic space or a formal scheme. But it will be quite obvious how to adapt the subsequent discussion to these cases.

σ-$\mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ conjugate. (By virtual F-crystal, we mean a $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-lattices in a F-isocrystal that is not necessarily F-stable.)

Kottwitz [Kot85, §4] showed that for $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\nu_b : \mathbf{D} \to G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$$

such that for any representation $\rho : G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}(n)_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-grading associated to the inverse of $\rho \circ \nu_b$ is the slope decomposition for $(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p^n, \rho(b)\sigma)$. (Note our sign convention in Definition 2.2.1.) Furthermore, the uniqueness shows that for any $g, b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ we have

$$\nu_{gb\sigma(g)^{-1}} = g\nu_b g^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$-conjugacy class of ν_b only depends on the σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugacy class $[b]$. So the $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$-conjugacy class of ν_b, which will be denoted by $\nu_{[b]}$, only depends on the σ-conjugacy class $[b]$.

The uniqueness of ν_b also implies that $\nu_{\sigma(b)} = \sigma^*\nu_b$. Since we have $\sigma(b) = b^{-1}b\sigma(b)$, it follows that

$$\sigma^*\nu_b = b^{-1}\nu_b b.$$

In particular, the conjugacy class $\nu_{[b]}$ is σ-stable; that is, the $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$-conjugacy class of cocharacters $\nu_{[b]}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. (Note that unless G is quasi-split over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, this does not necessarily imply that $\nu_{[b]}$ contains a cocharacter defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.)

Definition 2.2.4. We say that $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is *decent* if for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:

$$(b\sigma)^r = p^{r\nu_b}\sigma^r,$$

where the equality takes place in $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle$. We call the above equation a *decency equation*. By [RZ96, Corollary 1.9], if b is decent then we have $b \in G(\mathbb{Q}_{p^r})$, where r is as in the decency equation.

Kottwitz [Kot85, §4] showed that any σ-conjugacy class $[b]$ in $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ contains a decent element provided that G is a *connected* reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Consider the following group valued functor $J_b = J_{G,b}$ defined as follows:

$$J_b(R) := \{g \in G(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) |\ gb\sigma(g)^{-1} = b\} \tag{2.2.5}$$

for any $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra R. Note that for any $g, b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ we have $J_{gb\sigma(g)^{-1}}(R) = gJ_b(R)g^{-1}$ as a subgroup of $G(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$; in particular, J_b essentially depends only on the σ-conjugacy class of b in $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Proposition 2.2.6. *The group valued functor J_b can be represented by a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Furthermore, there is a unique isomorphism*

$$(J_b)_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\nu_b} := \mathcal{Z}_G(\nu_b)$$

which induce that inclusion $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \hookrightarrow G_{\nu_b}(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \hookrightarrow G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. (Note that the centraliser $G_{\nu_b} \subset G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is a Levi subgroup.

Proof. We may assume that b is decent, in which case the proposition was essentially proved in [RZ96, Corollary 1.14]. □

The extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}$ is a very useful tool to study σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and σ-$\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ conjugacy classes. Let us first recall the following result on σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugacy classes, which follows from the main results of X. He [He12].

Theorem 2.2.7. *Using the notation from Definition 2.1.5, The natural map* $\mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \hookrightarrow G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \twoheadrightarrow G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/\sigma\text{-conj}$ *induces a bijection*

$$\widetilde{W}/\sigma\text{-conj} \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/\sigma\text{-conj}.$$

For $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$*, we let* $[\tilde{w}]$ *denote the* σ*-conjugacy of* $\tilde{w}$*, which could also viewed as the* σ*-conjugacy class* $[\dot{\tilde{w}}]$ *of some lift* $\dot{\tilde{w}}$ *via the isomorphism above.*

Indeed, one can obtain from [He12] a much stronger result about the existence of combinatorially nice representative of $\widetilde{W}/\sigma\text{-conj}$.

Lemma 2.2.8. *Let* $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$*, and choose two lifts* $\dot{\tilde{w}}, \dot{\tilde{w}}' \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$*. Then there exists* $u \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ *such that* $\dot{\tilde{w}}' = u\dot{\tilde{w}}\sigma(u)^{-1}$*. In particular, for any stabiliser* $\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ *of a facet* Ω *in the apartment corresponding to* $S_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$*, the* σ*-*$\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ *conjugacy class* $[\![\dot{\tilde{w}}]\!]$ *only depends on* $\tilde{w}$*, not on the choice of* $\dot{\tilde{w}}$*.*

Proof. The second claim follows from the first since we have $T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \subset \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \subset \breve{\mathsf{K}}$ for any $\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ as above. In particular, we may work with a convenient choice of K to prove the above claim.

Let us choose a special vertex $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ in the apartment corresponding to the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-split maximal subtorus of S. This defines a special parahoric integral model $\mathcal{G}_x^\circ$ of G. By [BT84, Corollaire 4.6.13], $\mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_x^\circ := \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \cap \breve{\mathsf{K}}_x^\circ$ is the normaliser of $S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ in K_x°, and we have the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_x^\circ \longrightarrow W_0 \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $W_0 = \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is the relative Weyl group; *cf.* [HR12, Appendix, Proposition 12].

For any $w \in W_0$, we pick a lift $\dot{w} \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_x^\circ$. Then any element of $\mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ mapping to $w \in W_0$ can be written as $\dot{w}t$ for a unique $t \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. For $\dot{\tilde{w}}, \dot{\tilde{w}}' \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ lifting $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, we write $\dot{\tilde{w}} = \dot{w}t$ and $\dot{\tilde{w}}' = \dot{w}t'$ with $t't^{-1} \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$. The lemma reduces to finding $u \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ satisfying the following:

$$\dot{w}t' = u^{-1}(\dot{w}t)\sigma(u).$$

By multiplying $t^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1}$ on the right, this equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$w(t't^{-1}) = u^{-1} \cdot (w \circ \sigma)(u) \text{ in } T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 = \mathcal{T}^\circ(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p), \tag{2.2.9}$$

where $w : T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1 \to T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ is defined as the conjugation by $\dot{w}$, which only depends on $w \in W_0$. As $\mathcal{T}^\circ$ is a connected smooth group scheme, it suffices to solve the above equation in $\mathcal{T}^\circ(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. And since $w \circ \sigma$ can be viewed as an Frobenius map for some suitable inner form of $\mathcal{T}^\circ$ (which is a connected algebraic group), there exists the solution of the equation (2.2.9) in $\mathcal{T}^\circ(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ by surjectivity of the Lang isogeny. □

Definition 2.2.10. For $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, let $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}$ denote the σ-$\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ conjugacy class of any lift $\dot{\tilde{w}} \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. By Lemma 2.2.8, $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]$ does not depend on the choice of $\dot{\tilde{w}}$.

If $\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ is understood, then we write $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]$ instead of $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}$.

Lemma 2.2.11. *For* $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$*, there exists a lift* $\dot{\tilde{w}}$ *that satisfies a "decency equation"*

$$(\dot{\tilde{w}}\sigma)^r = p^{r\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}}}\sigma^r,$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$*; in other words,* $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}$ *contains a decent element.*

Proof. Since W_0 is finite and $\mathrm{Gal}(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \widehat{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ acts on W_0 through a finite quotient, there exists r such that for any $w \in W_0$ we have $(w\sigma)^r = \sigma^r$ in $W_0 \rtimes \langle\sigma\rangle$. Therefore for any $\dot{\tilde{w}} \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ we have $(\dot{\tilde{w}}\sigma)^r \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)\sigma^r$. We choose r to also satisfy that $\mathcal{S}^\circ$ splits over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r} \subset \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. Let $t \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ denote the element satisfying $t\sigma^r = (\dot{\tilde{w}}\sigma)^r$.

Since $S_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is the split part of $T_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$, it follows that $X_*(S)$ is precisely the torsion-free part of $X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. So by replacing r with some suitable multiple, we may assume that the image of t via $\kappa_T : T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \twoheadrightarrow X_*(T)_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ lies in $X_*(S)$. If we write $\lambda := \kappa_T(t) \in X_*(S)$, then we may write $t = p^\lambda t_1$ for $t_1 \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$.

Now, by repeating the proof of Lemma 2.2.8 we can find $u \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)_1$ such that $\sigma^r(u)u^{-1} = t_1^{-1}$. For u as above, we set $\dot{\tilde{w}}' := u^{-1}\dot{\tilde{w}}\sigma(u)$, which satisfies the following:

$$(\dot{\tilde{w}}'\sigma)^r = (u^{-1}t\sigma^r(u))\sigma^r = (t_1^{-1}t)\sigma^r = p^\lambda\sigma^r.$$

From this, it clearly follows that $\lambda = r\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}'}$; in particular, $\dot{\tilde{w}}'$ is decent. □

2.3. **G-(iso)crystals and virtual F-crystals with tensors.** Let R be either a field of characteristic zero or a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic. In practice, R will be one of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth affine group scheme over R such that the generic fibre is a reductive group. Let M be a free R-module of finite rank, and we fix a closed immersion of group schemes $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_R(M)$.

Proposition 2.3.1. *In the above setting, here exists a finitely many elements $s_\alpha \in M^\otimes$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ is the pointwise stabiliser of (s_α); i.e., for any R-algebra R', we have*

$$\mathcal{G}(R') = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_R(M)(R');\ g(s_\alpha) = s_\alpha\ \forall\alpha\}.$$

Proof. The case when R is a field is proved in [Del82, Proposition 3.1], and the case of discrete valuation rings is proved in [Kis10, Proposition 1.3.2]. □

We now return to the setting introduced in Definition 2.1.1.

Choose a finite free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module Λ equipped with a faithful $\mathcal{G}$-action (i.e., a closed embedding $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ of algebraic groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$). Fixing such a datum, we can choose finitely many tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset \Lambda^\otimes$ defining $\mathcal{G}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ by Proposition 2.3.1.

For any $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \mathcal{G}(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, we obtain the following virtual F-crystal over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

$$\mathbf{M}_b(= \mathbf{M}_b^\Lambda) := (\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda, b\sigma). \tag{2.3.2}$$

(Here, we identify $\mathcal{G}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$.) In the intended setting, $\mathbf{M}_b$ will be assumed to be the dual of the contravariant Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group $\mathbb{X}_b$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and Λ will be isomorphic to the Tate module of a suitable $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-lift of $\mathbb{X}_b$. (In other words, one can identify $\mathbf{M}_b$ as the underlying $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-module for the covariant Dieudonné module of $\mathbb{X}_b$, and $b\sigma = p^{-1}F$ where F is the covariant crystalline Frobenius operator. In particular, $\mathbf{M}_b$ is not stable under $b\sigma$ unless it is étale. The reason for this normalisation is to identify $\mathbf{M}_b$ as the first crystalline *homology* without Tate twist.)

Let $\mathbf{1} := (\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p, \sigma)$ denote the trivial (virtual) F-crystal. For each s_α, let us consider the following $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-linear map

$$\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{M}_b^\otimes = \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda^\otimes;\ 1 \mapsto 1 \otimes s_\alpha. \tag{2.3.3}$$

Since (the image of) $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ is the pointwise stabiliser of (s_α), it follows that (2.3.3) is a map of virtual F-crystals for each α (i.e., a $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-linear morphism which induces a morphism of F-isocrystals after inverting p).

Let $b' \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Then clearly, we have $b' \in [b]$ if and only if $[\mathbf{M}_b[\frac{1}{p}], (s_\alpha)] \cong [\mathbf{M}_{b'}[\frac{1}{p}], (s_\alpha)]$ (i.e., there exists an isomorphism $\mathbf{M}_b[\frac{1}{p}] \cong \mathbf{M}_{b'}[\frac{1}{p}]$ of F-isocrystals which fixes each s_α). Similarly, b' lies in the σ-$\breve{\mathrm{K}}$ conjugacy class $[\![b]\!]$ if and only if we have $[\mathbf{M}_b, (s_\alpha)] \cong [\mathbf{M}_{b'}, (s_\alpha)]$.

2.4. **Completely slope divisible G-crystals.** The following definition is an analogue of completely slope divisible p-divisible groups over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. We will not directly work with this definition, but we will use it as a motivation for regarding $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]$ as a "completely slope divisible virtual F-crystals with tensors"; *cf.* Lemma 2.4.3.

Definition 2.4.1. An element $b \in \mathcal{G}(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is called *completely slope divisible* if b is decent and $\nu_b : \mathbf{D} \to G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is the base change of a homomorphism $\mathbf{D} \to \mathcal{G}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. A σ-$\breve{\mathrm{K}}$ conjugacy class $[\![b]\!]$ is called *completely slope divisible* if it contains a completely slope divisible element.

The motivation of Definition 2.4.1 is the following (more standard) definition of completely slope divisible p-divisible groups:

Definition 2.4.2. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a p-divisible group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with height n. We say that $\mathbb{X}$ is *completely slope divisible* if it admits a filtration

$$0 = \mathbb{X}_0 \subsetneq \mathbb{X}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbb{X}_m = \mathbb{X}$$

such that for some integer $r > 0$ and strictly decreasing sequence of integers $a_i \in [0, r]$ the quasi-isogeny $p^{-a_i} \operatorname{Fr}^r : \mathbb{X}_i \to (\mathbb{X}_i)^{(p^r)}$ is an isogeny inducing an isomorphism $\mathbb{X}_i/\mathbb{X}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{X}_i/\mathbb{X}_{i-1})^{(p^r)}$. We call $\{\lambda_i := a_i/r\}$ the slopes of $\mathbb{X}$.

We write $\mathbb{X}^{(i)} := \mathbb{X}_i/\mathbb{X}_{i-1}$. Then (since the base field is perfect) the slope filtration has a canonical splitting, so we may write $\mathbb{X} = \prod_i \mathbb{X}^{(i)}$; *cf.* [Zin01b, Corollary 11].

Recall that the isomorphism class of $\mathbb{X}$ corresponds to certain σ-$\mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ conjugacy class $[\![b]\!]$. One can see that $\mathbb{X}$ is completely slope divisible if and only if $[\![b]\!]$ is completely slope divisible. Indeed, if $\mathbb{X}$ is completely slope divisible, then we choose a $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-basis of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$ adapted to the slope decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \prod_i \mathbb{X}^{(i)}$, and require that the basis of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X}^{(i)})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)^*$ is fixed by $p^{-a_i} \operatorname{Fr}^r$. Then, the resulting matrix $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is clearly decent and ν_b is defined over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ (since the slope decomposition is defined over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$). Conversely, if $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is completely slope divisible, then the slope decomposition of the F-isocrystal $\mathbf{M}_b[\frac{1}{p}]$ restricts to the slope decomposition of $\mathbf{M}_b$, which allow us to write $\mathbb{X}_b = \prod \mathbb{X}_b^{(i)}$. Now, the decency equation for b implies that there exists r and a_i such that the quasi-isogeny $p^{-a_i} \operatorname{Fr}^r : \mathbb{X}_b^{(i)} \to (\mathbb{X}_b^{(i)})^{(p^r)}$ is an isomorphism.

Now let $[\![b]\!]$ denote a σ-$\breve{\mathrm{K}}$ conjugacy class of $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, and we choose $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow GL_n$ such that $[\![b]\!]$ corresponds to an isomorphism class of p-divisible groups of height n with tensors $(\mathbb{X}, (s_\alpha))$. Then if $[\![b]\!]$ is completely slope divisible, then $\mathbb{X}$ is completely slope divisible, and the tensors (s_α) are "well behaved" with respect to the slope decomposition.

Lemma 2.4.3. *We use the notation from Definition 2.1.5. For any $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, the σ-$\breve{\mathrm{K}}$ conjugacy class $[\![\tilde{w}]\!]$ (as in Definition 2.2.10) is completely slope divisible.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2.11, there exists a *decent* representative $\dot{\tilde{w}} \in [\![\tilde{w}]\!]$. Furthermore, the proof of Lemma 2.2.11 shows that $\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}} : \mathbf{D} \to G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ factors through $S_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$,

therefore, it extends to a rational cocharacter $\mathbf{D} \to \mathcal{S}^\circ_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$.[2] Since $\mathcal{S}^\circ$ is a torus in $\mathcal{G}^\circ$, it follows that $\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}}$ is defined over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. □

Recall that we have a natural bijection $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}\backslash\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \cong \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ\backslash G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ given by $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}\tilde{w}\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} \mapsto \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ\dot{\tilde{w}}\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$, where $\dot{\tilde{w}}$ is any lift of $\tilde{w}$; *cf.* Remark 2.1.6. For a dominant cocharacter $\nu \in X_*(T)_+$ defined over $\overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}}_p$ (where dominance is with respect to the choice of a suitable borel subgroup), one can associate a subset $\mathrm{Adm}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(\nu) \subset \widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}\backslash\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$; *cf.* [Rap05, (3.6)].

Definition 2.4.4. For $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and $\nu \in X_*(T)_+$, we consider an *affine Deligne-Lusztig variety of level* $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$, defined as follows

$$X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu) := \bigcup_{\tilde{w}\in\mathrm{Adm}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(\nu)} \{g \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)|\ g^{-1}b\sigma(g) \in \breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ\dot{\tilde{w}}\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ\}/\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \subset G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ.$$

And we let $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}(b;\nu) \subset G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/\breve{\mathsf{K}}$ denote the image of $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ under the natural projection, and call it an *affine Deligne-Lusztig variety of level* $\breve{\mathsf{K}}$.

Note that for any $g \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, the σ-$\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ conjugacy class $[\![g^{-1}b\sigma(g)]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ only depends on the right coset $g\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$, and the same assertion holds if we replace $\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ$ with $\breve{\mathsf{K}}$. We say that $g\breve{\mathsf{K}} \in X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}(b;\nu)$ is a *completely slope divisible point* if $[\![g^{-1}b\sigma(g)]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}$ is completely slope divisible.

The following proposition can be thought of as the slope filtration theorem for affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties.

Proposition 2.4.5. *For $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and $\nu \in X_*(T)_+$, assume that $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ is non-empty. Then there exists $g\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \in X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ such that $[\![g^{-1}b\sigma(g)]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} = [\![\tilde{w}]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$ for some $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$. In particular, $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ admits a completely slope divisible point.*

The same statement holds for $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}(b;\nu)$.

Note that for $\nu' \leq \nu$, we have $\mathrm{Adm}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(\nu') \subseteq \mathrm{Adm}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(\nu)$, so $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}(b;\nu') \subseteq X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}}(b;\nu)$. Later, we will only consider the case when ν is minuscule.

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion for $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$, which follows from [He14, Corollary 2.9]. Indeed, assuming that $X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ is non-empty, the aforementioned result implies that $[b]$ contains a lift $\dot{\tilde{w}} \in \mathcal{N}_S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ of $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ such that $\widetilde{W}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$-double coset of $\tilde{w}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Adm}_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(\nu)$. Therefore, there exists $g\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ \in X_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}(b;\nu)$ such that $[\![g^{-1}b\sigma(g)]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ} = [\![\tilde{w}]\!]_{\breve{\mathsf{K}}^\circ}$, which is completely slope divisible by Lemma 2.4.3. □

3. The group of tensor-preserving self quasi-isogenies

3.1. **Tensor-preserving internal hom p-divisible groups.** Inspired by [CS15, §4.1], we construct a certain p-divisible group $\mathcal{H}_b^G$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ that can be thought of as a "tensor-preserving" internal hom p-divisible group of $\mathbb{X}_b$.

For any p-divisible groups $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{X}'$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, one can construct the internal hom p-divisible group $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X},\mathbb{X}'}$ with the following properties:

(1) We have an isomorphism of sheaves $\varprojlim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X},\mathbb{X}'}[p^n] \cong \mathscr{H}om(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{X}')$.
(2) Let $\mathbf{M} := \big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^*$, $\mathbf{M}' := \big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X}')(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^*$, and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}} := \big(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X},\mathbb{X}'})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^*$ be the dual of the contravariant Dieudonné modules (as virtual F-crystals). Then $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is the non-positive slope part of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{M}')[\frac{1}{p}]$.

[2] By replacing $\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}}$ with $r\nu_{\dot{\tilde{w}}}$ for some r, the claim reduces to a familiar statement on cocharacters of split tori.

See [CS15, Lemmas 4.17 and 4.1.8] for more details.

Now consider a p-divisible group $\mathbb{X}_b$ with tensors (s_α) as in §2.3. Let $\mathcal{H}_b^G$ denote the p-divisible subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_b := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X}_b,\mathbb{X}_b}$ such that its contravariant Dieudonné module $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G$ satisfies

$$(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G) = \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(\mathbf{M}_b)[\tfrac{1}{p}] \subset \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{M}_b)[\tfrac{1}{p}], \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{M}_b = \big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X}_b)(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^*$ and $\mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(\mathbf{M}_b)$ denotes tensor-preserving endomorphisms. It clearly follows that $(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is exactly the non-positive slope part of the F-isocrystal $\mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(\mathbf{M}_b)[\frac{1}{p}]$.

If we fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $(\Lambda, (s_\alpha))$ of $(\mathbf{M}_b, (s_\alpha))$ then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} (:= \mathrm{Lie}\, G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = \mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(\mathbf{M}_b)[\tfrac{1}{p}]$$

as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda)_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} = \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{M}_b)[\frac{1}{p}]$. Therefore, we can also view $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G[\frac{1}{p}]$ as the non-positive slope part of the F-isocrystal $(\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, (\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)$, where σ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ fixes the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-structure $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}\, G$. In particular, the p-divisible subgroup $\mathcal{H}_b^G \subset \mathcal{H}_b$ only depends on $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$, not on the choice of tensors (s_α).

Now, let us consider the formal group schemes $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b := \varprojlim_p \mathcal{H}_b$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G := \varprojlim_p \mathcal{H}_b^G$. By rigidity of quasi-isogenies, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G$ uniquely lift to formal group schemes over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$, and are crystals of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces on infinitesimal sites; *cf.* [SW13, §3.1]. It also follows that for any $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ (i.e., a $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-algebra with p nilpotent), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b(R) = \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)[\tfrac{1}{p}],$$

where $(\mathbb{X}_b)_R$ is any lift of $(\mathbb{X}_b)_{R/p}$.

Definition 3.1.2. Let R be an f-semiperfect $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-algebra in the sense of [SW13, Definition 4.1.2]. Let $A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R)$ denote the universal p-adic PD thickening of R (*cf.* [SW13, Proposition 4.1.3]), and set $B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) := A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R)[\frac{1}{p}]$. Then we have an isomorphism $(\mathbb{D}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)(A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R)))^* \cong A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_b$ of virtual A_{cris}-crystals.

For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{End}(X_{b,R})[\frac{1}{p}]$, we say that γ is a *tensor-preserving quasi-endomorphism* if the induced map $\mathrm{End}(B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_b)$ preserves the tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_b^\otimes$. We let $\mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)[\frac{1}{p}] \subset \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)[\frac{1}{p}]$ denote the subset of tensor-preserving quasi-endomorphisms.

Lemma 3.1.3. *Let R be an f-semiperfect $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-algebra in the sense of* [SW13, Definition 4.1.2]. *Then we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G(R) = \mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G$ is a crystal on the infinitesimal site, the lemma also describes the points valued in $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ when R/p is f-semiperfect.

Proof. We first note that by [SW13, Theorem A] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G(R) &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, (\mathcal{H}_b^G)_R)[\tfrac{1}{p}] \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R), B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G)^{F=1} = (B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G)^{F=1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it remains to show the following equality

$$(B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G)^{F=1} = \mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_b)^{F=1}.$$

To see this equality, recall that $\mathbf{M}_b^G$ is the non-positive slope part of the F-isocrystal $\mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(\mathbf{M}_b)$, and the positive slope part of $\mathrm{End}_{(s_\alpha)}(B_{\mathrm{cris}}^+(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}_b)$ does not have any non-zero F-invariance (*cf.* the proof of Lemma 4.1.8 in [CS15]). □

Proposition 3.1.4. *As in §2.1, we fix the maximal $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-split torus $S \subset G$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and a $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-rational borel subgroup $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \subset G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$. Let $\nu_{[b]} \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{Q},+}$ denote the dominant representative of the geometric conjugacy class of ν_b, where the dominance is defined by $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$. Then, the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_b^G$ is $\langle 2\rho, \nu_{[b]}\rangle$, where 2ρ is the sum of all the positive roots of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4.5, we may assume that b is completely slope divisible, and ν_b is a rational dominant cocharacter of S (i.e., $\nu_b = \nu_{[b]}$); indeed, replacing $\mathbb{X}_b$ by tensor-preserving isogeny modifies $\mathcal{H}_b^G$ by some isogeny, which does not affect the dimension.

Let us obtain the slope decomposition of the isocrystal

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, (\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma).$$

With respect to the choice of S and $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ as in the statement, we obtain the set of relative roots Φ_0 for $G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$, and the subset of positive relative roots Φ_0^+. We also have the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0} \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0} \right),$$

where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(T_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$ is the Lie algebra of $T = \mathcal{Z}_G(S)$ over $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0}$ is the α_0-eigenspace with respect to the adjoint action of $S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

To obtain the slope decomposition for $(\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, (\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)$, it suffices to get the slope decomposition for the $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-σ^r-space $(\mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, ((\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)^r)$ for some suitable r. We may choose r so that $(b\sigma)^r = p^{r\nu_b}\sigma^r \in T(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rtimes \sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the borel subgroup $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \subset G_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is actually defined over $\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}$. Note that the $\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}$-rationality of $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ ensures that each $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0}$ is naturally defined over $\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}$; in particular, they are stable under σ^r. Furthermore, since $((\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)^r = \mathrm{ad}(p^{r\nu_b})\sigma^r$, it follows that

- for any $\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0$, $((\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)^r$ acts on $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0}$ by $p^{\langle \alpha_0, r\nu_b\rangle}\sigma^r$;
- $((\mathrm{ad}b)\sigma)^r$ acts on $\mathfrak{t}$ as σ^r.

Therefore, it follows that $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is a sub-isocrystal pure of slope 0, and $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0}$ is contained in the sub-isocrystal pure of slope $\langle \alpha_0, \nu_b\rangle$. Since ν_b is chosen to be dominant, $\langle \alpha_0, \nu_b\rangle$ is negative only if α_0 is a negative relative root. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{H}}^G = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0^+} \mathfrak{u}_{-\alpha_0} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0^+ \text{ s.t. } \langle \alpha_0, \nu_b\rangle = 0} \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{t}.$$

Note that only $\mathfrak{u}_{-\alpha_0}$ with $\langle \alpha_0, \nu_b\rangle > 0$ contributes to $\dim \mathcal{H}_b^G$, and we have

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_b^G = \sum_{\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0^+} \langle \alpha_0, \nu_b\rangle \dim \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0} \tag{3.1.5}$$

(Note that $\dim \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0} = \dim \mathfrak{u}_{-\alpha_0}$.)

Now let $\Phi^+ \subset X^*(T)$ denote the set of positive (absolute) roots for $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ (where the positivity is defined by $B_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$), and for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ we write $\mathfrak{u}_\alpha$ for the α-eigenspace for the adjoint action of $T(\overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}}_p)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}}_p}$, which is necessarily one-dimensional. For any positive relative root $\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0$, we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}}_p = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \text{ s.t. } \alpha|_{S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)} = \alpha_0} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha;$$

In particular, $\dim \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha_0}$ is equal to the number of absolute roots α with $\alpha|_{S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)} = \alpha_0$. Since we have $\langle \alpha, \nu_b \rangle = \langle \alpha|_{S(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}, \nu_b \rangle$ for any absolute root α (as $\nu_b \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{Q},+}$), formula (3.1.5) becomes

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_b^G = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \langle \alpha, \nu_b \rangle = \langle \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha, \nu_b \rangle =: \langle 2\rho, \nu_b \rangle,$$

as desired. □

3.2. **Self quasi-isogeny groups.** Let $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ denote the sheaf of groups on $\mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$, sending R to the group of self quasi-isogenies of $(\mathbb{X}_b)_{R/p}$. Then $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ can be represented by a formal group scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$; *cf.* [CS15, Lemma 4.2.10]. Indeed, we have a closed immersion of formal schemes $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b) \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b)^2$ given by $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma, \gamma^{-1})$, inducing a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\gamma, \gamma') \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b)^2(R) : \ \gamma \circ \gamma' = \gamma' \circ \gamma = \mathrm{id}\}$$

for any $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$. (Here, we identify $(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b)(R) = \mathrm{End}((\mathbb{X}_b)_R)[\frac{1}{p}]$.) Similarly, we can make the following definition.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \subset \mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ denote the closed formal subgroup scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$, such that the underlying formal subscheme is

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) = \mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b) \times_{(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b)^2} (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G)^2.$$

Note that we have a closed immersion of formal schemes $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G)^2$, inducing a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\gamma, \gamma') \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G)^2(R) : \ \gamma \circ \gamma' = \gamma' \circ \gamma = \mathrm{id}\}$$

for any $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$.

The following is a straightforward corollary of Lemma 3.1.3.

Corollary 3.2.2. *Let $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$, and assume that R/p is f-semiperfect. Then $\gamma \in \mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ lies in $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)(R)$ if and only if $\gamma_{R/p} : (\mathbb{X}_b)_{R/p} \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{X}_b)_{R/p}$ preserves the tensors (s_α).*

For the rest of this section, we will describe $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)$ as a formal scheme, generalising [CS15, Proposition 4.2.11].

Recall that $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is the stabiliser of $b\sigma$ via the conjugation action of $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ on $G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rtimes \sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Similarly, let $J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ denote the stabiliser of $b\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rtimes \sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, viewing G as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ using the fixed embedding. Note that $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are the group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-points of some reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so they are equipped with a natural locally profinite topology.

By Dieudonné theory over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we can interpret $J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as the group of self quasi-isogenies of $\mathbb{X}_b$, and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as the subgroup of tensor-preserving self quasi-isogenies.

Let $\underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ and $\underline{J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ respectively denote the formal group schemes over $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ associated to the locally profinite groups $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. (To describe the underlying formal scheme of $\underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$, for any open compact subset $U \subset J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that U is the limit of the projective system of finite sets $\{U_m\}$, we have an formal open subscheme $\underline{U} \subset \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ with $\underline{U} = \varprojlim \underline{U_m}$.)

Recall that $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, so we have a natural map $\underline{J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \to \mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ of group-valued sheaves on $\mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ as follows: for $R \in \mathrm{Nilp}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$, we send a self quasi-isogeny $\gamma : \mathbb{X}_b \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_b$ to $\gamma_{R/p}$. (This a priori defines a map from the constant "discrete" group associated to $J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, but one can easily see that this

map factors through the constant locally profinite group $\underline{J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$.) It is shown in [CS15, Proposition 4.2.11] that we have a natural section

$$\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b) \to \underline{J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \tag{3.2.3}$$

with all the fibres isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[x_1^{1/p^\infty}, \cdots, x_{d'}^{1/p^\infty}]]$. Here, $d' = \langle 2\rho', \nu_b \rangle$, where $2\rho'$ is the sum of all the positive roots of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$.

Since we have $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we also have a natural map of group-valued sheaves $\underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \to \mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)$. Furthermore, we have the following generalisation of [CS15, Proposition 4.2.11]:

Proposition 3.2.4. *The formal subgroup scheme $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \subset \mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b)$ is independent of the choice of tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset \Lambda^\otimes$, and the formal group scheme $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)$ only depends on (G, b) up to isomorphism. Furthermore, there exists a natural section of formal group schemes*

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \to \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)},$$

with all the fibres isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[x_1^{1/p^\infty}, \cdots, x_d^{1/p^\infty}]]$ as a formal scheme. Here, $d = \langle 2\rho, \nu_{[b]} \rangle$, where 2ρ is the sum of all the positive roots of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ and $\nu_{[b]}$ is the dominant representative of the conjugacy class of ν_b.

Remark 3.2.5. We set $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b) := \ker\big(\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \to \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}\big)$. Then Proposition 3.2.4 implies that

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) = \mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \rtimes \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2.4. The independence of the choice of tensors (s_α) follows from the same property for $\mathcal{H}_b^G$. Clearly, the natural section $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X}_b) \twoheadrightarrow \underline{J_b^{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ restricts to $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \twoheadrightarrow \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_b^{G,\circ}$ be the connected part of $\mathcal{H}_b^G$, and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^{G,\circ}$ denote the unique lift over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ of the universal cover $\varprojlim_{[p]} \mathcal{H}_b^{G,\circ}$ of $\mathcal{H}_b^{G,\circ}$. We now claim that the following map

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \xrightarrow{\gamma \mapsto (\gamma, \gamma^{-1})} (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G)^2 \xrightarrow{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \mapsto \gamma_1 - \mathrm{id}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^G$$

induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^{G,\circ}$ of formal schemes, where $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b) := \ker\big(\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \to \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}\big)$. Indeed, this isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ(\mathbb{X}_b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^\circ$ in the case of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ can be read off from the proof of Proposition 4.2.11 in [CS15]. Therefore, for any $\gamma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^{G,\circ}(R)$ where p is nilpotent in R and R/p is f-semiperfect, $(\mathrm{id} + \gamma)_{R/p}$ is a (necessarily tensor-preserving) self quasi-isogeny of $(\mathbb{X}_b)_{R/p}$, so it defines a section in $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b)(R)$. Conversely, the isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ(\mathbb{X}_b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^\circ$ clearly takes $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b)$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^{G,\circ}$. Hence, we obtain the desired isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_b^{G,\circ}$.

Now, the description of the fibre of $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b) \twoheadrightarrow \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ follows from Proposition 3.1.4 and [SW13, Proposition 3.1.3(iii)]. □

4. Review of Kisin-Pappas deformation rings

From now on, we always assume that $p > 2$.

4.1. **Review of Dieudonné display theory.** We briefly review and set up the notation for the theory of Dieudonné displays for *p-torsion free* complete local noetherian rings with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, following [KP15, §3.1]. For more standard references for Dieudonné display theory, we refer to Zink's original paper [Zin01a] and Lau's paper [Lau14].

Let R be a complete local noetherian ring with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In [Zin01a], Zink introduced a p-adic subring $\mathbb{W}(R)$ of the ring of Witt vectors $W(R)$, which fits in the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}(R) \longrightarrow W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\widehat{W}(\mathfrak{m}_R) := \{(a_i) \in W(\mathfrak{m}_R) |\ a_i \to 0\}$. If $p > 2$ then $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is stable under the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators of $W(R)$. Recall that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-torsion free if R is p-torsion free (since in that case $W(R)$ is p-torsion free).

Let $\mathbb{I}_R$ denote the kernel of the natural projection $\mathbb{W}(R) \twoheadrightarrow R$, which coincides with the injective image of the Verschiebung operator (if $p > 2$). We let $\sigma : \mathbb{W}(R) \to \mathbb{W}(R)$ denote the Witt vector Frobenius map. Also if $p > 2$ then $\mathbb{I}_R$ is stable under the natural divided power structure on the kernel of $W(R) \twoheadrightarrow R$, and $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is a p-adic divided power thickening of R. (In particular, one can evaluate a crystal over R at $\mathbb{W}(R)$.)

Definition 4.1.1. A *Dieudonné display* over R is a tuple

$$(M, M_1, \Phi, \Phi_1),$$

where

(1) M is a finite free $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module;
(2) $M_1 \subset M$ is a $\mathbb{W}(R)$-submodule containing $\mathbb{I}_R M$, such that M/M_1 is free over R;
(3) $\Phi : M \to M$ and $\Phi_1 : M_1 \to M$ are σ-linear morphism such that $p\Phi_1 = \Phi|_{M_1}$ and the image of Φ_1 generates M.

The *Hodge filtration* associated to the display is $M_1/\mathbb{I}_R M \subset M/\mathbb{I}_R M$ viewed as the 0th filtration (so M/M_1 is viewed as the (-1)th grading).

Given a Dieudonné display (M, M_1, Φ, Φ_1) over R, we let $\widetilde{M_1}$ denote the image of $\sigma^* M_1$ in $\sigma^* M$. Then the linearisation of Φ_1 induces the following isomorphism

$$\Psi : \widetilde{M_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M.$$

If $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-torsion free (for example, if R is p-torsion free), then given M and $M_1 \subset M$ where M/M_1 is projective over R, giving a σ-linear map Φ and Φ_1 that makes (M, M_1, Φ, Φ_1) a Dieudonné display is equivalent to giving an isomorphism Ψ as above; *cf.* [KP15, Lemma 3.1.5].

For any complete local noetherian ring R with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 2$, Zink [Zin01a] constructed a natural equivalance between the category of p-divisible groups over R and the category of Dieudonné displays over R. We can describe this (covariant) equivalence of categories when $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-torsion free in terms of crystalline Dieudonné theory using Lau's result [Lau14], as follows.

Assume that $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-torsion free (for example, p-torsion free R.) Then for a p-divisible group X over R, the (covariantly) associated Dieudonné display is given by the following data:

(1) $M := (\mathbb{D}(X)(\mathbb{W}(R)))^*$ and $M_1 := \ker\left(M \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{D}(X)(R))^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(X)\right)$, where $\mathbb{D}(X)$ is the contravariant Dieudonné crystal;
(2) The crystalline Frobenius $F : \sigma^* M[\frac{1}{p}] \to M[\frac{1}{p}]$ restricts to an isomorphism $\Psi : \widetilde{M_1} \to M$.

Remark 4.1.2. Let X be a p-divisible group over a R as above (with the extra assumption that R is p-torsion free). Let (M, M_1, Φ, Φ_1) denote the associated Dieudonné display. Note that the underlying crystal $\mathbb{D}(X)$ together with the crystalline Frobenius only depends on $X_{R/p}$. Therefore, the underlying $\mathbb{W}(R)$-module M and $\Psi : (\sigma^* M)[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[\frac{1}{p}]$ are determined by $X_{R/p}$.

4.2. **Review of Kisin-Pappas deformation theory.** We begin with the review of the deformation theory of p-divisible groups with tensors in [KP15, §3]. Let us first consider the case of GL_n We choose $b \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that there exists a p-divisible group $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{X}_b$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^* = (\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda, b\sigma) =: \mathbf{M}(= \mathbf{M}_b)$, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. We also view $\mathbf{M}$ as a Dieudonné display $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}_1, \Phi, \Phi_1)$, where $\mathbf{M}_1 := (b\sigma)^{-1}(\mathbf{M}) \subset \mathbf{M}$, $\Phi_1 = b\sigma$ and $\Phi = pb\sigma$. Since we have $\sigma^*\mathbf{M}_1 \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$, it follows that $\Psi : \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}$ coincides with the linearisation of Φ_1.

Note that $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}_1 = \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbf{M}/p\mathbf{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}_1$ recovers the natural map $\big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\big)^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathbb{X}$ defining the Hodge filtration. Let R_{GL} denote the completed local ring of a suitable grassmannian variety over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ at the point corresponding to $\mathbf{M}/p\mathbf{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}_1$.

In [KP15, §3.1], Kisin and Pappas constructed a Dieudonné display

$$(M_{\mathrm{GL}}, M_{\mathrm{GL},1}, \Phi, \Phi_1)$$

over R_{GL}, which is a universal deformation of $\mathbf{M}$ with the following properties:

(1) We have $M_{\mathrm{GL}} = \mathbb{W}(R_{\mathrm{GL}}) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$.
(2) The Hodge filtration $M_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathrm{GL}})} R_{\mathrm{GL}} \twoheadrightarrow M_{\mathrm{GL}}/M_{\mathrm{GL},1}$ is induced by a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}$ lifting a cocharacter giving rise to the Hodge filtration $\mathbf{M}/p\mathbf{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}_1$ for $\mathbb{X}$. In particular, the "filtration" $M_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathrm{GL}})} R_{\mathrm{GL}} \twoheadrightarrow M_{\mathrm{GL}}/M_{\mathrm{GL},1}$ lifts $\mathbf{M}/p\mathbf{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{M}_1$.
(3) The identification of the reduced tangent spaces of the deformation functor and of $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ is compatible with the one provided by the Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory (in the sense of [KP15, Lemma 3.1.15] and its proof).

Definition 4.2.1. We recall, from [KP15, §3.2.5], the definition of a quotient $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ of $R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$ (where $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$ is a suitable finite extension of $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$). Let $\mathcal{G}_{/\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a Bruhat-Tits integral model of $G_{/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as in Definition 2.1.1. We additionally assume that G splits after a tame extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the adjoint group of G does not have a factor of type E_8, and p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})$.[3]

We choose a *local Shimura datum*

$$(G, [b], \{\mu\})$$

in the sense of [RV14, Definition 5.1]; in other words, $\{\mu\}$ is a $G(\overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$-conjugacy class of minuscule cocharacters of G, and $[b]$ is a σ-$G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ conjugacy class that is neutral acceptable for $\{\mu\}$ in the sense of [RV14, Definition 2.3]. Let $\breve{E} \subset \overline{\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ denote the field of definition of the conjugacy class $\{\mu\}$ over $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, which is a finite extension of $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

We choose $b \in [b]$ and a closed immersion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ such that the following properties hold:

(1) The image of $G = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ contains scalar matrices.
(2) The closed immersion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ induces a closed immersion of the local models $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathcal{G},\{\mu\}} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda),\{\mu\}})_{\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}}$, associated to $(G, \{\mu\}, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$ and $(\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \{\mu\}, \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda))$ by Pappas and Zhu [PZ13]. Note that $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda),\{\mu\}}$ is nothing but a grassmannian variety over $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$.

[3] We assume that $p \nmid |\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})|$ to ensure that the local model $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathcal{G},\{\mu\}}$ is normal (so the "deformation ring" $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ is normal). The rest of the assumptions are made because we need to have [KP15, Proposition 1.4.3] for the deformation theory, which is proved under the assumption that G splits after a tame extension and the adjoint group of G has no factor of type E_8.

(3) There exists a p-divisible group $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{X}_b$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\big(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X})(\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p)\big)^* = (\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda, b\sigma) =: \mathbf{M}(= \mathbf{M}_b)$. Furthermore, the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda),\{\mu\}}$, associated to the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{X}$, lies in the image of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathcal{G},\{\mu\}}$.

Such $b \in [b]$ and $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ exist if the local Shimura datum $(G, [b], \{\mu\})$ comes from a Hodge-type Shimura datum (using [KP15, Corollary 2.3.16]), but they may not exist for an arbitrary local Shimura datum.

Then we can identify R_{GL} as the completed local ring of the grassmannian $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda),\{\mu\}}$ at the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point corresponding to the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{X}$. We set $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ as the completion of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}_{\mathcal{G},\{\mu\}}$ at the same $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point.

Using the assumption that p does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})$, it follows that $R_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}} \mathscr{O}_K$ is normal for any finite extension K of $\breve{E}$; *cf.* [KP15, Corollary 2.1.3].

We choose finitely many tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset \Lambda^\otimes$ whose pointwise stabiliser is (the image of) $\mathcal{G}$ inside $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$. Using $\mathbf{M} = \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$, we view $(s_\alpha) \subset \mathbf{M}^\otimes$. Furthermore, by viewing $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1 \subset (\sigma^*\mathbf{M})[\frac{1}{p}] = \breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$, we may view $(s_\alpha) \subset (\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1)^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$. And since $\Phi_1 = b\sigma$ with $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, it follows that $(s_\alpha) \subset (\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1)^\otimes$, and $\Psi : \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}$ preserves the tensors (s_α). (Here, $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1$ is the image of $\sigma^*\mathbf{M}_1$ in $\sigma^*\mathbf{M}$, and Ψ is the isomorphism induced by the linearisation of Φ_1.)

Let $(M_{\mathcal{G}}, M_{\mathcal{G},1}, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ denote the base change of the universal deformation of displays $(M_{\mathrm{GL}}, M_{\mathrm{GL},1}, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ to $R_{\mathcal{G}}$. Using $M_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}}) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$, we view (s_α) as elements in $M_{\mathcal{G}}^\otimes$ or in $(\sigma^*M_{\mathcal{G}})^\otimes$. As before, we denote by $\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},1}$ the image of $\sigma^*M_{\mathcal{G},1}$ in $\sigma^*M_{\mathcal{G}}$, and $\Psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$ the isomorphism induced by the linearisation of Φ_1.

The following proposition can be deduced from [KP15, §3.2].

Proposition 4.2.2. *In the setting of Definition 4.2.1, the tensors* $(s_\alpha) \subset (\sigma^*M_{\mathcal{G}})^\otimes$ *lie in* $\widetilde{M}^\otimes_{\mathcal{G},1}$. *Furthermore,* $\Psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$ *preserves the tensors* (s_α).

Proof. The claim that $(s_\alpha) \subset \widetilde{M}^\otimes_{\mathcal{G},1}$ is proved in [KP15, Corollary 3.2.11]. Note that for any $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}_K$ for any finite extension $\mathscr{O}_K$ of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$, the scalar extension Ψ via ξ preserves the tensors (s_α) by [KP15, Proposition 3.2.17(2)]. Since $R_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is Jacobson, it follows that Ψ preserves the tensor after the scalar extension to $W(R_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}])$. As $\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a subring of $W(R_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}])$, we conclude that $\Psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$ preserves the tensors (s_α). □

For a finite extension $\mathscr{O}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$, one can characterise which deformation X over $\mathscr{O}$ defines an $\mathscr{O}$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ via the lifts of crystalline tensors (s_α); *cf.* [KP15, Proposition 3.2.17]. We will recall the statement in Proposition 4.2.6. For this, we need some preparation.

Let $\xi : R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathscr{O}$ where $\mathscr{O}$ is a finite extension of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$, and let $(M_\xi, M_{\xi,1}, \Psi)$ denote deformation of $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}_1, \Psi)$ corresponding to ξ. Then by [KP15, Lemma 3.1.17], there exists a unique Ψ-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O}) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M_\xi[\tfrac{1}{p}] \tag{4.2.3}$$

which reduces to the identity map on $\mathbf{M}_\xi[\frac{1}{p}]$ after the scalar extension by $\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O})[\frac{1}{p}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{W}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[\frac{1}{p}] = \breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Alternatively, one can obtain this isomorphism as follows. Let X_ξ be the p-divisible group associated to $(M_\xi, M_{\xi,1}, \Psi)$, and consider the unique quasi-isogeny $\iota_\xi : X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}/p} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathscr{O}/p}$ lifting the identity map on $\mathbb{X}$. Then ι_ξ induces the isomorphism of F-isocrystals $\mathbb{D}(X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}/p})^*[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathscr{O}/p})^*[\frac{1}{p}]$, and the map on the $\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O})$-sections coincides with the isomorphism (4.2.3).

Using this isomorphism (4.2.3), we obtain the tensors

$$(s_\alpha) \subset (M_\xi)^\otimes[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{4.2.4}$$

By the discussion earlier, $(s_\alpha) \subset (M_\xi)^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$ can also be obtained from the $\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O})$-sections of the maps of F-isocrystals, also denoted by (s_α):

$$s_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \to (\mathbb{D}(X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}/p})^*)^\otimes[\tfrac{1}{p}]. \tag{4.2.5}$$

Note that the scalar extension by $\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{W}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ sends the tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset M_\xi^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$ (4.2.3) to $(s_\alpha) \subset \mathbf{M}^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$, the tensors that we started with.

Proposition 4.2.6. *In the setting as above, $\xi : R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathscr{O}$ factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if the following condition holds:*

1. *The tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset M_\xi^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$, defined in (4.2.4), lie in $M_\xi^\otimes$.*
2. *There exists an $\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O})$-linear isomorphism*
$$\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O}) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M} \cong M_\xi,$$
preserving the tensors (s_α).
3. *Let $K := \mathscr{O}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Using the isomorphism (4.2.3) modulo $\mathbb{I}_{\mathscr{O}}$*
$$K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda = K \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M} \cong K \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(\mathscr{O})} M_\xi,$$
the Hodge filtration $(M_{\xi,1}/\mathbb{I}_{\mathscr{O}} M_\xi)[\frac{1}{p}] \subset K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda$ is given by a G-valued cocharacter $\mu_\xi : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_K$ that belongs to the geometric conjugacy class of cocharacters $\{\mu\}$ associated to $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ in the sense of Definition 4.2.1.

Proof. By [KP15, Proposition 3.2.17, Lemma 3.2.13], if ξ factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ then the tensors (s_α) satisfy all the conditions listed in the statement; note that the tensors $(\tilde{s}_\alpha) \subset M_\xi^\otimes$ in [KP15, Proposition 3.2.17] should coincide with our (s_α) in (4.2.4) by [KP15, Lemma 3.2.13]. The converse follows from [KP15, Proposition 3.2.17]. □

Definition 4.2.7. In the setting of Proposition 4.2.6, assume that $\xi : R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathscr{O}$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.6(3). (This is satisfied if ξ factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$.) Let $T(X_\xi)$ denote the integral Tate module of X_ξ. Then by the crystalline comparison isomorphism $B_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T(X_\xi) \cong B_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$, we obtain Galois-invariant tensors

$$(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}) \subset T(X_\xi)^\otimes[\tfrac{1}{p}].$$

Let us now recall the statement of [KP15, Proposition 3.3.13]:

Proposition 4.2.8. *The map $\xi : R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathscr{O}$ factors through $\mathscr{O}$ if the following conditions hold:*

1. *The map ξ satisfies Proposition 4.2.6(3).*
2. *We have $(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}) \subset T(X_\xi)^\otimes$, and there exists a $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-linear isomorphism $\mathbf{M} \cong \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T(X_\xi)$ matching (s_α) with $(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}})$.*

Remark 4.2.9. We stated Proposition 4.2.8 in a slightly more restrictive form than [KP15, Proposition 3.3.13]; namely, Proposition 4.2.8(2) is more stringent than *loc. cit*. Indeed, assuming that $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}$ satisfies that $(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}) \subset T(X_\xi)^\otimes$, it seems that the following $\mathbb{Z}_p$-scheme

$$\mathrm{Isom}([\Lambda, (s_\alpha)], [T(X_\xi), (s_{\alpha,\text{ét}})])$$

may be a non-trivial $\mathcal{G}$-torsor if $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{G}^\circ$, so Proposition 4.2.8(2) may not be satisfied.

It is possible, with a little more work, to improve Proposition 4.2.8 to a necessary and sufficient condition. On the other hand, we are interested in the deformation ring $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ that occurs as the completed local ring of some parahoric-level integral

model of Hodge-type Shimura varieties constructed in [KP15]. In that case, we can arrange so that any $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2). (See [KP15, §4.1.7] for more details.)

4.3. **The action of** $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ **on** $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$**.** Recall that $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ can be regarded as the completion of some Rapoport-Zink space. In other words, for any local $\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p$-algebra R where p is nilpotent, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p}(R_{\mathrm{GL}}, R)$ is in natural bijection with the isomorphism class of pairs (X, ι) where X is a deformation of $\mathbb{X}$ over R and $\iota : X_{R/p} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{R/p}$ is a quasi-isogeny lifting $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{X}} : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$. Therefore, we get a natural action of $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$ on $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ as follows: $\gamma \in \mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}_b)(R)$ sends (X, ι) to $(X, \gamma \circ \iota)$. (Indeed, the full self quasi-isogeny group $\mathrm{Qisg}(\mathbb{X})$ naturally act on the Rapoport-Zink space, and it restricts to this $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$-action on the completion $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$.)

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3.1. *Assume that any $\xi : \mathrm{Spf}\, \mathscr{O} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2), where $\mathscr{O}$ is a finite extension of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$.*[4] *Then the natural $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$-action on $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ restricts to a natural $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$-action on $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$; in other words, the morphism of formal schemes*

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathrm{Spf}(R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}), \tag{4.3.2}$$

defined by the natural $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$-action on $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$, factors through $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$.

We can deduce this theorem from the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3.3. *Let $\mathscr{O}$ be a finite extension of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$, and assume that $\xi : \mathrm{Spf}\, \mathscr{O} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2). Then the following map*

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\, \mathscr{O} \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id},\xi)} \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\, \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{(4.3.2)} \mathrm{Spf}(R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}})$$

factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Granting Proposition 4.3.3, one can deduce Theorem 4.3.1 as follows:

Proof of Theorem 4.3.1. We write the underlying formal scheme for $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$ as $\mathrm{Spf}\, S^{\infty}_{G}$ with $S^{\infty}_{G} = \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[x_1^{1/p^{\infty}}, \cdots, x_d^{1/p^{\infty}}]]$; *cf.* Proposition 3.2.4. Then the map (4.3.2) can be written as

$$R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}} \to R_{\mathcal{G}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} S^{\infty}_{G} = R_{\mathcal{G}}[[x_1^{1/p^{\infty}}, \cdots, x_d^{1/p^{\infty}}]]. \tag{4.3.4}$$

We want to show that this map factors through the quotient $R_{\mathcal{G}}$.

By Proposition 4.3.3, the following map

$$R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}} \xrightarrow{(4.3.4)} R_{\mathcal{G}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} S^{\infty}_{G} \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathscr{O} \widehat{\otimes}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} S^{\infty}_{G} = \mathscr{O}[[x_1^{1/p^{\infty}}, \cdots, x_d^{1/p^{\infty}}]] \tag{4.3.5}$$

factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ for any $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}$ (where $\mathscr{O}$ is a finite extension of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$). Since $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ in $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}})$, the kernel of (4.3.4) is the intersection of the kernel of (4.3.5), which should coincide with the kernel of the natural projection $R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\mathcal{G}}$ by Proposition 4.3.3. □

Proof of Proposition 4.3.3. Let X_{ξ} denote the deformation of $\mathbb{X}$ over $\mathscr{O}$ corresponding to ξ, and let $\iota_{\xi} : (X_{\xi})_{\mathscr{O}/p} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathscr{O}/p}$ denote the unique quasi-isogeny lifting the identity map of $\mathbb{X}$. We choose a complete algebraically closed extension C of $K = \mathrm{Frac}(\mathscr{O})$, and view ξ as an $\mathscr{O}_C$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$. By (completed) scalar extension of (4.3.5) over $\mathscr{O}_C$, we obtain the following map

$$R_{\mathrm{GL}} \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}} \to S^{\infty}_{G} \widehat{\otimes}_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C = \mathscr{O}_C[[x_1^{1/p^{\infty}}, \cdots, x_d^{1/p^{\infty}}]], \tag{4.3.6}$$

[4] As explained in Remark 4.2.9, this assumption can be arranged if $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ came from some integral model of Shimura varieties constructed in [KP15].

which is determined by the following properties:

(1) The universal deformation of $\mathbb{X}$ pulls back to the base change of X_ξ via $\mathscr{O} \to S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C$.
(2) For any open ideal $I \subset S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C$ containing p such that the quotient $(S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I$ is f-semiperfect, the map $R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to (S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I$, induced by (4.3.6) corresponds to the following quasi-isogeny

$$(X_\xi)_{(S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I} \xleftarrow{\iota_\xi} \mathbb{X}_{(S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\mathrm{univ}}} \mathbb{X}_{(S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I},$$

where $\gamma^{\mathrm{univ}} \in \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})((S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C)/I)$ is the tautological point.

The quasi-isogeny $\gamma^{\mathrm{univ}} \circ \iota_\xi$ preserves the tensors (s_α) in the sense of Definition 3.1.2.

Let $S_{G,\xi} \subset S_G^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathscr{O}_C$ denote the image of R_{GL} by (4.3.6). Since $S_{G,\xi}$ is p-torsion free by construction, it suffices to show that for any finite extension $\mathscr{O}'$ of $\mathscr{O}$, any map $R_{\mathrm{GL}} \twoheadrightarrow S_{G,\xi} \to \mathscr{O}'$ factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$.

For $\xi' : S_{G,\xi} \to \mathscr{O}'$, let us also choose $\mathscr{O}' \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_C$, where C is the fixed complete algebraically closed extension of $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathscr{O})$. We also choose and a map $\xi'_{\mathscr{O}_C} : \mathrm{Spf}\, \mathscr{O}_C \to \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})$ over ξ'. Let $X_{\xi'}$ denote the deformation of $\mathbb{X}$ over $\mathscr{O}'$ corresponding to ξ'. By construction, we have $X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C} = X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}_C}$, where $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}$ is the fixed $\mathscr{O}$-point in the statement of Proposition 4.3.3. Furthermore, the following maps of F-isocrystals

$$s_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \to \mathbb{D}(X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}'/p})^\otimes[\tfrac{1}{p}] \text{ and } s_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \to \mathbb{D}(X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}/p})^\otimes[\tfrac{1}{p}]$$

coincide after the base change over $\mathscr{O}_C/p$.

Now we want to show that $\xi' : R_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathscr{O}'$ factors through $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ by applying Proposition 4.2.8. The condition on the Hodge filtration (Proposition 4.2.8(1)) is straightforward, as it can be checked after the base change over C, and ξ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(1).

It remains to verify the condition on étale tensors (Proposition 4.2.8(2)). Note that we have a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear isomorphism

$$T(X_\xi) = T(X_{\xi'})$$

induced by the identification $X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}_C} = X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C}$. Furthermore, this identification preserves the tensors $(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}})$. Indeed, the étale tensors $(s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}) \subset T(X_{\xi'})^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$ are determined by the maps of F-isocrystals $s_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \to \mathbb{D}(X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C/p})^\otimes[\frac{1}{p}]$ and the Hodge filtration for $X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C}$; indeed, this datum determines the tensors of a certain vector bundle respecting the modification (associated to $X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C}$), which determines the étale tensors by extending the comparison map [SW13, Corollary 5.1.2] to tensors of vector bundles with modifications; *cf.* [FF12, Theorem 6.1] and the subsequent Remark. Since $(T(X_\xi), (s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}))$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2), the same holds for $(T(X_{\xi'}), (s_{\alpha,\text{ét}}))$. □

Remark 4.3.7. Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $(\mathbb{X}, (s_\alpha))$ comes from a mod p point of some integral canonical model of Hodge-type Shimura varieties (with hyperspecial level structure at p). Then one can define Hodge-type Rapoport-Zink spaces (*cf.* [Kim13], [HP15]) and Hodge-type Igusa towers (*cf.* [Ham16b]), and it is not difficult to deduce from Theorem 4.3.1 that the full tensor-preserving self quasi-isogeny group $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X})$ acts on Hodge-type Rapoport-Zink spaces and Igusa towers. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{Qisg}_G(\mathbb{X}) = \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$, and the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on these spaces are already defined.

Definition 4.3.8. Let $\mathscr{O}$ be a finite extension of $\mathscr{O}_{\check{E}}$, and assume that $\xi : \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathscr{O} \to \mathrm{Spf}\,R_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2). Then let $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}$ denote the $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})$-orbit of ξ; more precisely, we define $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi} := \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathscr{O}$, viewed as a formal scheme over $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ via the map defined in Proposition 4.3.3. We similarly define a formal scheme $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi} := \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathscr{O}$ over R_{GL}.

We need the following lemma in the next section:

Lemma 4.3.9. *In the setting of Definition 4.3.8, let C be any algebraically closed complete extension of $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathscr{O})$. Then, $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$ lies in $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$ if and only if ξ' defines an $\mathscr{O}_C$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3.3, any $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$ defines an $\mathscr{O}_C$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$. Conversely, let us assume that $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$ defines an $\mathscr{O}_C$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$, and show that $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$.

Let $\mathrm{Spf}\,S \subset \mathrm{Spf}\,R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ and $\mathrm{Spf}\,S_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathrm{Spf}\,R_{\mathcal{G}}$ respectively denote the smallest closed formal subschemes which the structure morphisms $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi} \to \mathrm{Spf}\,R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ and $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi} \to \mathrm{Spf}\,R_{\mathcal{G}}$ factor through. We want to show that the natural injective map $(\mathrm{Spf}\,S_{\mathcal{G}})(\mathscr{O}_C) \to (\mathrm{Spf}\,S \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} R_{\mathcal{G}})(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is bijective. By the Zariski density consideration, it suffices to show that if $\xi' \in (\mathrm{Spf}\,S \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} R_{\mathcal{G}})(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is defined over some finite extension $\mathscr{O}'$ of $\mathscr{O}$, then ξ' lies in the image of $(\mathrm{Spf}\,S_{\mathcal{G}})(\mathscr{O}_C)$. For such ξ', we use the same letter ξ' to denote the $\mathscr{O}'$-point $\xi' : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}'$ descending the $\mathscr{O}_C$-point.

Let $X_{\xi'}$ denote the p-divisible group over $\mathscr{O}'$ corresponding to ξ'. Then we have a unique quasi-isogeny

$$\iota_{\xi'} : X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}'/p} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathscr{O}'/p},$$

lifting the identity map on $\mathbb{X}$. And as ξ' is an $\mathscr{O}'$-point of $R_{\mathcal{G}}$, the quasi-isogeny $\iota_{\xi'}$ is tensor-preserving. Therefore, the quasi-isogeny

$$\iota_{\xi'}^{-1} \circ \iota_{\xi} : X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}'/p} \dashrightarrow X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}'/p}$$

is tensor-preserving. Finally, using $X_{\xi',\mathscr{O}_C} = X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}_C}$ (which comes from the fact that $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})(\mathscr{O}_C)$), it follows that the pull back of $\iota_{\xi'}^{-1} \circ \iota_{\xi}$ over $\mathscr{O}_C/p$ is a tensor-preserving self quasi-isogeny of $X_{\xi,\mathscr{O}_C/p}$, hence defines an element $\gamma_{\xi} \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})(\mathscr{O}_C)$. Furthermore, by construction we have $\gamma_{\xi'} \cdot \xi = \xi'$, where $\gamma_{\xi'} \cdot \xi$ refers to the natural action of $\gamma_{\xi'} \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})(\mathscr{O}_C)$ on $\xi \in R_{\mathrm{GL}}(\mathscr{O}_C)$. This shows that $\xi' \in \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}(\mathscr{O}_C)$, as we have claimed. □

5. Almost product structure in Kisin-Pappas deformation rings

Throughout this section, we set $\bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}} := R_{\mathrm{GL}}/pR_{\mathrm{GL}}$ and $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}} := R_{\mathcal{G}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\check{E}}R_{\mathcal{G}}$. For any ring R of characteristic p, we let $R^{p^{-\infty}}$ denote the perfection of R. If R is a complete local noetherian ring of characteristic p, then we write $\widehat{R}^{p^{-\infty}}$ for the $\mathfrak{m}_R$-adic completion of $R^{p^{-\infty}}$. We use the similar notation for schemes and formal schemes of characteristic p.

5.1. **Central leaves.** For any geometric point $\bar{x} : \mathrm{Spec}\,\kappa \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (with κ algebraically closed), let $X_{\bar{x}}$ denote the fibre of the universal deformation of p-divisible groups over $R_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then the Dieudonné display $(M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}}, M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x},1}, \Psi)$ of $X_{\bar{x}}$ can be explicitly described as follows: we have $M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}} = W(\kappa) \otimes_{\bar{x},\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})} M_{\mathcal{G}}$, $M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x},1}$ is defined so that its associated Hodge filtration is the fibre of the Hodge filtration associated to $(M_{\mathcal{G}}, M_{\mathcal{G},1})$, and $\Psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x},1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}}$ is the fibre of $\Psi : \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{G},1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$. We also have the fibre $(s_{\alpha,\bar{x}}) \subset M^{\otimes}_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}}$ of the tensors $(s_{\alpha}) \subset M^{\otimes}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Proposition 5.1.1. *There exists a reduced closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, also denoted by $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}^{[b]}$, such that a geometric point $\bar{x} : \operatorname{Spec} \kappa \to \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (with κ algebraically closed) factors through $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if we have $X_{\bar{x}} \cong \mathbb{X}_{\kappa}$. Furthermore, if $\bar{x}$ defines a geometric point of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$, then there exists an isomorphism of Dieudonné displays $M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}} \cong W(\kappa) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$ sending $(s_{\alpha,\bar{x}})$ to $(1 \otimes s_{\alpha}) \subset W(\kappa) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}^{\otimes}$.*

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of [Ham16a, Proposition 2.14]. Since $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is excellent (as it is a complete local noetherian ring), Oort [Oor04, Proposition 2.2] constructed the reduced closed subscheme $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ such that a geometric point $\bar{x}$ lands in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if $X_{\bar{x}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{X}$. It remains to show that the isomorphism can be chosen to preserve the tensors.

Let $X_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}}$ denote the restriction of the universal deformation over $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Recall that for each n there exists a scheme $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G},n}$ finite and surjective over $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $X_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G},n}}[p^n] \cong \mathbb{X}[p^n]_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G},n}}$. Therefore, over the perfection $\mathfrak{D}$ of $\varprojlim \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G},n}$ we have $X_{\mathfrak{D}} \cong \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

For any open affine subscheme $\operatorname{Spec} R \subset \mathfrak{D}$, we can define a "Dieudonné display" $(M_R, M_{R,1}, \Psi)$ over R, obtained as the base change of $M_{\mathcal{G}}$; in other words, $M_R := W(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})} M_{\mathcal{G}}$, and the rest of the datum is defined so that the Hodge filtration and Ψ are compatible with the scalar extension. Then we get the Ψ-tensors $(s_{\alpha,R}) \subset M_R^{\otimes}$ by the scalar extension of $(s_{\alpha}) \subset M_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes}$. Since $X_{\mathfrak{D}} \cong \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{D}}$, we have a Ψ-equivariant isomorphism $M_R \cong W(R) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$ lifting the identity map on $\mathbf{M}$. So it follows from [RR96, Lemma 3.9] that $(s_{\alpha,R})$ coincide with the scalar extensions of $(s_{\alpha}) \subset \mathbf{M}^{\otimes}$.

Let κ be an algebraically closed extension of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then any κ-valued point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ can be lifted to $\mathfrak{D}$ without increasing κ (since $\mathfrak{D}$ is pro-finite surjective over $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Choosing such a lift (and an open affine neighbourhood of it), we obtain a tensor-preserving isomorphism $M_{\mathcal{G},\bar{x}} \cong W(\kappa) \otimes_{\breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}$. This concludes the proof. □

By applying Proposition 5.1.1 to R_{GL}, we obtain $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}}$. It is known that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[x_1, \cdots, x_{d'}]]. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Here, $d' = \langle 2\rho', \nu_{[b]} \rangle$ where $2\rho'$ is the sum of positive roots of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$, and $\nu_{[b]}$ is the dominant representative of the conjugacy class of ν_b where $b \in \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is obtained from the Dieudonné module of $\mathbb{X}$. (Indeed, if $\mathbb{X}$ is completely slope divisible, then one even has an explicit description of coordinates; *cf.* [Cha05, §7].[5], and the general case follows from this special case.) Recall from Proposition 3.2.4 that $d' = \dim \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$.

Theorem 5.1.3. *Assume that there exists $\xi : \operatorname{Spf} \mathscr{O} \to \operatorname{Spf} R_{\mathcal{G}}$ that satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2).*[6] *Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ be the formal completion of the perfection of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ is the $\mathrm{Qisg}_G^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$-orbit of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spf} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. In other words, there exists an isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ which fits in the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Qisg}_G^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} \breve{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Qisg}_G^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \operatorname{Spf} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spf} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}} \end{array},$$

[5] See also [Ham16a, §3.2] for the statement and argument which works for more general connected reductive groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ instead of $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$.

[6] As explained in Remark 4.2.9, this assumption can be arranged if $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ came from some integral model of Shimura varieties constructed in [KP15].

where the right vertical map is defined by Theorem 4.3.1.

Proof. Consider the map $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ induced by the natural action of $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ on the closed point (i.e., the composition of the top horizontal arrow and the right vertical arrow in the diagram of the statement). By Proposition 5.1.1, it factors through the completion of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ so in turn it factors through $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by perfectness of $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. Therefore, we obtain a natural map

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathcal{G}} \tag{5.1.4}$$

(not proven to be an isomorphism yet), which fits in the above commutative diagram.

Let us first handle the special case when $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{X}$ is completely slope divisible. Then the universal deformation $X_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$ restricted to $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ is completely slope divisible (*cf.* [Man02, §2.4.2]) and the associated grading of the slope filtration is isomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$ (*cf.* [Man02, Lemma 3.4]). It follows that we have an isomorphism $X_{\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}} \cong \mathbb{X}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$, since the slope filtration of a completely slope divisible p-divisible group canonically splits over a perfect base. Therefore, the natural map $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ corresponds to a quasi-isogeny

$$X_{\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}} \cong \mathbb{X}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}},$$

which corresponds to a map $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}} \to \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. This gives the inverse of (5.1.4).

Now if we retain the assumption that $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$ and allow $\mathbb{X}$ to be any p-divisible group, then there exists a quasi-isogeny $\gamma : \mathbb{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}'$ for some completely slope divisible p-divisible group $\mathbb{X}'$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Note that γ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}) \cong \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X}')$ defined by the "conjugation by γ". If we let $\mathfrak{C}'_{\mathrm{GL}}$ denote the central leaf in the universal deformation ring of $\mathbb{X}'$, then by [Ham16a, Proposition 4.2(2)] we have a $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$-equivariant isomorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}} \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}'^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}$. This proves the case when $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$.

Now, let us handle the general case. By the proof of Proposition 5.1.1, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the underlying reduced scheme of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}} \mathrm{Spec}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$. From the $\mathrm{GL}(\Lambda)$-case, the natural map $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (5.1.4) is a closed immersion. Let us first show that this is an isomorphism.

We fix $\xi : R_{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathscr{O}$ (for some finite extension $\mathscr{O}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{\breve{E}}$) that satisfies Proposition 4.2.8(2). Then the unique $\mathscr{O}$-lift of $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}$ (*cf.* Definition 4.3.8), and this lift respects the map to $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{G},\xi} \subset \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}$ denote the unique $\mathscr{O}$-flat formal closed subscheme with special fibre $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}^{p^{-\infty}}_{\mathcal{G}}$. By construction, we have a natural closed immersion

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{G},\xi}.$$

Furthermore, this map induces a bijection on the set of $\mathscr{O}_C$-points for any algebraically closed complete extension C of $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathscr{O})$ by Lemma 4.3.9, so it has to be an isomorphism. (To see this, we may replace $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}_{G}(\mathbb{X})_{\xi}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{G},\xi}$ with the smallest formal closed subschemes of $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ which the structure morphism factors through, and conclude by Zariski density.) By reducing this isomorphism modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathscr{O}}$, we show that (5.1.4) is an isomorphism. This shows the theorem in general. □

Remark 5.1.5. Theorem 5.1.3 does not force $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ to be isomorphic to a formal spectrum of a formal power series ring over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. It seems plausible to show that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is formally smooth by generalising the construction of "generalised Serre-Tate coordinates" (*cf.* [Ham16a, §3.2]). Later in this paper, we show the formal smooth

of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ in the case when $R_{\mathcal{G}}$ arises from the completed local ring of some integral model of parahoric-level Hodge-type Shimura variety (constructed by Kisin and Pappas [KP15]); *cf.* Corollary 5.3.1.

5.2. **Product structure of the Newton stratum.** Let $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ be the closed Newton stratum; i.e., $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ is defined by the property that $\bar{x} : \operatorname{Spec} \kappa \to \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ factors through $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ if and only if $X_{\bar{x}}$ is isogenous to $\mathbb{X}_{\kappa}$. We also define the isogeny leaf $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}}$; i.e., the maximal closed subset (viewed as a reduced subscheme) where there exists a quasi-isogeny $X_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$ over $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ (not just over the formal completion of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}$) which lifts the identity map on $\mathbb{X}$. Identifying R_{GL} as a completed local ring of some Rapoport-Zink space, $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ is the spectrum of the completed local ring of the underlying reduced scheme of the Rapoport-Zink space. Note that $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}} \subset \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}$.

Let us first recall the almost product structure of the Newton stratum [Ham16a, §4.1] in our language. Let $X_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$ denote the restriction of the universal deformation, and we have a quasi-isogeny $\iota : X_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$. Then the natural $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})$-action on $\operatorname{Spf} R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ induces the following map

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \to \operatorname{Spf} \bar{R}_{\mathrm{GL}}. \tag{5.2.1}$$

Identifying R_{GL} as a completed local ring of some Rapoport-Zink space, the map (5.2.1) is given by the following quasi-isogeny over $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$

$$\mathrm{pr}_2^* X_{\widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1^*(\gamma^u)} \mathrm{pr}_2^* X_{\widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2^*(\iota)} \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}}, \tag{5.2.2}$$

where pr_i (with $i = 1, 2$) is the ith projection from $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$.

The following can be read off from the work of Hamacher:

Proposition 5.2.3. *The map (5.2.1) induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}.$$

Furthermore, it coincides with the completion of the natural isomorphism $\pi_{\infty} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ *in* [Ham16a, Corollary 4.4] *via the identification* $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ *given by Theorem 5.1.3.*

Proof. Note that the map (5.2.1) factors through the closed Newton stratum; indeed, since $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ is an affine formal scheme we can view the p-divisible group $\mathrm{pr}_2^* X_{\widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}}$ over the affine scheme algebraising its base formal scheme, and only the geometric fibres of $X_{\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}}$ occurs there. Now, by perfectness, we see that the map (5.2.1) factors through $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$.

Hamacher showed that there exists a natural isomorphism $\pi_{\infty} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$; *cf.* [Ham16a, Corollary 4.4]. Unwinding its proof and identifying $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \cong \mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ (*cf.* Theorem 5.1.3), one obtains that our the map $\mathrm{Qisg}^{\circ}(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ coincides with the map induced by the isomorphism π_{∞} on the formal completions. □

To define the Newton stratification on $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2.4. *For brevity, we write* $S := \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$. *Then there exists an exact faithful* $\otimes$*-functor*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} G \to F\text{-isoc}_S$$

sending $\Lambda[\frac{1}{p}]$ *to* $(W(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})} M_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}], \Psi)$*, and depending only on* $[b]$ *up to natural isomorphism.*

Proof. By construction, we have a tensor-preserving isomorphism $\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$. Therefore, $\Psi : \sigma^* M_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}] \to M_{\mathcal{G}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ defines an element $b_\Psi \in G(\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})[\frac{1}{p}])$. By viewing $b_\Psi \in G(W(S)[\frac{1}{p}])$, we obtain an F-isocrystal $(W(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V, b_\Psi \sigma)$ over $\operatorname{Spec} S$ to each algebraic G-representation V over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Since S is perfect, this gives the desired exact faithful $\otimes$-functor. Now, a different choice tensor-preserving isomorphism $\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathcal{G}}$ modifies b_Ψ up to σ-$G(\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}})[\frac{1}{p}])$ conjugacy, so it does not affect the resulting $\otimes$-functor up to natural isomorphism. □

By the previous lemma, we can apply [RR96, Theorem 3.6] to obtain the Newton stratification on $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$. Since $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$, we may regard it as a stratification on $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the closed Newton stratum. We define the isogeny leaf $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$ as the reduced intersection of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{G}}$. We also write $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ denote the formal completions of the perfections.

Theorem 5.2.5. *The isomorphism* $\mathrm{Qisg}^\circ(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ *in Proposition 5.2.3 restricts to an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}.$$

Before we prove this theorem, let us record the following immediate corollary:

Corollary 5.2.6. *The natural isomorphism* $\pi_\infty : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ *in* [Ham16a, Corollary 4.4] *restricts to the natural isomorphism* $\pi_\infty : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$*, and its completion recovers the isomorphism in Theorem 5.2.5.*

Proof of Theorem 5.2.5. The map is clearly a closed immersion, so it suffices to show that (after algebraising the formal schemes) the image is dense. Since the set of 1-dimensional points in $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is dense, it suffices to show that any $\xi : \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[t]] \to \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$ lies in the image.

We set $R := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[[t^{p^{-\infty}}]]$, and view ξ also as $\operatorname{Spf} R \to \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$. By Proposition 5.2.3, there exist a map $\gamma_\xi : \operatorname{Spf} R \to \mathrm{Qisg}^\circ(\mathbb{X})$ and a quasi-isogeny $\jmath_\xi : X_\xi \to \mathbb{X}_R$ (defined over $\operatorname{Spec} R$), such that $(\gamma_\xi, \jmath_\xi)$ maps to $\xi \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathrm{GL}}(R)$. To prove the theorem, we want to show that $\jmath_\xi \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ and $\gamma_\xi \in \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})(R)$. Since we have $\jmath_\xi = \gamma_\xi^{-1} \cdot \xi$, it suffices to show that $\gamma_\xi \in \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})$ as the $\mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})$-action stabilises $\operatorname{Spf} R_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Let us summarise the setting as follows.

(1) The R-point $\jmath_\xi \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{GL}}(R)$ corresponds to the quasi-isogenies
$$\jmath_\xi : X_\xi \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_R$$
defined over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ (not just over $\operatorname{Spf} R$).

(2) The map $\gamma_\xi : \operatorname{Spf} R \to \mathrm{Qisg}^\circ(\mathbb{X})$ corresponds to a collection of quasi-isogenies over R/t^i (for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$)
$$\gamma_\xi^{(i)} : \mathbb{X}_{R/t^i} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{R/t^i}.$$
compatible with respect to the natural projections of the base ring R/t^i.

(3) The map $\xi : \operatorname{Spf} R \to \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathcal{G}}^{p^{-\infty}}$ gives rise to a p-divisible group X_ξ over R, together with quasi-isogenies over R/t^i (for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$)
$$\iota_\xi^{(i)} : X_{\xi, R/t^i} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{R/t^i},$$
compatible with respect to the natural projections of the base ring R/t^i.

Let M_ξ denote the Dieudonné module of X_ξ. (Alternatively, M_ξ can also be obtained as the base change from $M_{\mathcal{G}}$ via the map $\mathbb{W}(R_{\mathcal{G}}) \to W(R)$ induced by ξ.) Then we get the crystalline tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset M_\xi^\otimes$ from the base change of $(s_\alpha) \subset M_{\mathcal{G}}^\otimes$. Similarly, for the f-semiperfect ring R/t^i we have a universal p-adic PD hull $A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R/t^i) \twoheadrightarrow R/t^i$ (containing $W(R)$ as a subring), and we can view $M_\xi^{(i)} := A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R/t^i) \otimes_{W(R)} M_\xi$ as a "Dieudonné module" of $X_{\xi,R/t^i}$ (*cf.* [SW13, §4].) We also obtain the crystalline tensors $(s_\alpha) \subset (M_\xi^{(i)})^\otimes$ on $X_{\xi,R/t^i}$ by base change.

We claim that $\iota_\xi^{(i)}$ preserves the tensors (s_α). Indeed, the map $R_{\mathcal{G}} \to R/t^i$, induced by ξ, can be defined over some artin local subring $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[t^{p^{-n}}]/t^i \subset R/t^i$, so the quasi-isogeny $\iota_\xi^{(i)}$ descends over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[t^{p^{-n}}]/t^i$ for some $n \gg 0$. Now, the claim follows since F-isocrystals over the spectrum of an artin local ring only depend on the fibre at the closed point (*cf.* [dJ95, the proof of Corollary 5.1.2]) and the quasi-isogeny $\iota_\xi^{(i)}$ lifts the identity map on $\mathbb{X}$.

By construction, we have $\gamma_\xi^{(i)} \circ \jmath_{\xi,R/t^i} = \iota_\xi^{(i)}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Therefore, to show $\gamma_\xi^{(i)} \in \mathrm{Qisg}_G^\circ(\mathbb{X})(R/t^i)$ for each i (i.e., the induced automorphism of $A_{\mathrm{cris}}(R/t^i)\otimes\mathbf{M}$ preserves the tensors (s_α)), it suffices to show that $\jmath_\xi$ is a tensor-preserving quasi-isogeny over $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$; i.e., the isomorphism of F-isocrystals over $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$

$$M_\xi[\tfrac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}[\tfrac{1}{p}], \tag{5.2.7}$$

induced by $\jmath_\xi$, preserves the tensors (s_α).[7] Indeed, (5.2.7) should be tensor-preserving, since any Frobenius-invariant tensor on a *constant* F-isocrystal over R is determined by its special fibre (*cf.* [RR96, Lemma 3.9]), and $\jmath_\xi$ reduces to the identity map on the special fibre $\mathbb{X}$. This concludes the proof. □

5.3. **Application to integral models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties of parahoric level.** Let $\mathscr{S}'$ be the scheme over $\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ classifying principally polarised abelian varieties of dimension g with some prime-to-p level structures. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a scheme finite and unramified over $\mathscr{S}'_{\mathscr{O}_{\check{E}}} := \mathscr{S}' \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathscr{O}_{\check{E}}$, where $\mathscr{O}_{\check{E}}$ is a finite extension of $\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. Assume that there exists a smooth algebraic subgroup $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GSp}_{2g}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ which satisfies the following:

(1) The generic fibre $G := \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a reductive group, and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_x$ is a Bruhat-Tits integral model of G associated to $x \in \mathcal{B}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (*cf.* Definition 2.1.1);
(2) For any closed point $x \in \mathscr{S}'(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we identify the completion $\widehat{\mathscr{S}'_x}$ as a closed formal subscheme of the deformation space of p-divisible group $\mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$ (where $\mathscr{A}_x$ is the fibre at x of the universal abelian variety over $\mathscr{S}'$). Then for any closed point $x \in \mathscr{S}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, there exists an isomorphism of p-divisible groups $\mathbb{X}_b \cong \mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$ for some $b \in G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that the formal completion $\widehat{\mathscr{S}_x} \subset \widehat{\mathscr{S}'_x} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}\,\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathscr{O}_{\check{E}}$ coincides with $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ as in Definition 4.2.1 as a closed formal subscheme of the universal deformation space of $\mathbb{X}_b$.

Note that if $(G, \mathfrak{H})$ is a Shimura datum that admits an embedding into a Siegel Shimura datum, then the integral model of Shimura varieties with level $\mathsf{K} = \mathsf{K}^p\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

[7] Here, one can give an alternative construction of $\jmath_\xi$ without using Proposition 5.2.3, as follows. Since X_ξ has *constant* Newton polygon, it is known that there exists a unique isomorphism of F-isocrystals $M_\xi[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p} \mathbf{M}[\frac{1}{p}]$ that reduces to the identity map on $\mathbf{M}[\frac{1}{p}]$ via $W(R) \twoheadrightarrow W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = \check{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. (The existence of such isomorphism is proved in [Kat79, Theorem 2.7.4], and the uniqueness follows from [RR96, Lemma 3.9]). Now, by the Dieudonné theory over R (*cf.* [Ber80, Corollaire 3.4.3]), the above isomorphism of F-isocrystals gives rise to a unique quasi-isogeny $X_\xi \dashrightarrow \mathbb{X}_R$ of p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$, which should recover $\jmath_\xi$ by uniqueness.

constructed in [KP15] gives an example of $\mathscr{S}$ if $p > 2$ does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G^{\mathrm{der}})$; *cf.* [KP15, Corollary 4.2.4].

Let us choose a closed point $x \in \mathscr{S}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and set $\mathbb{X} := \mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty]$ (where $\mathscr{A}$ is the pull back of the universal abelian scheme over $\mathscr{S}'$). Let $\mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathrm{GL}}$ denote the formal scheme classifying p-divisible groups deforming $\mathbb{X}$, and we identify $\widehat{\mathscr{S}_x} = \mathrm{Spf}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ as before. By [Oor04, Proposition 2.2], there exists a (reduced) locally closed subscheme $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathscr{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ such that a geometric point $\bar{y} : \mathrm{Spec}\, \kappa \to \mathscr{S}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ factors through $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbb{X})$ if and only if we have $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{y}}[p^\infty] \cong \mathbb{X}_\kappa$. The following is a corollary of Theorem 5.1.3.

Corollary 5.3.1. *We write $\mathscr{C} := \mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbb{X})$ for brevity. For any $y \in \mathscr{C}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the formal completion $\widehat{\mathscr{C}_y}$ coincides with the formal completion $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the central leaf $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathrm{Spec}\, R_{\mathcal{G}}$ (as in Theorem 5.1.3). Furthermore, $\mathscr{C}$ is smooth of equi-dimension $\langle 2\rho, \nu_{[b]} \rangle$ (using the notation from Proposition 3.1.4).*

Proof. The isomorphism $\widehat{\mathscr{C}_y} \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is clear from the definition of $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (by looking at the geometric points of $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}}$). Furthermore, $\widehat{\mathscr{C}_y}$'s are isomorphic for any $y \in \mathscr{C}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, so they have to be formally smooth by the openness of smooth locus of $\mathscr{C}$. Finally, the dimension of $\mathscr{C}$ follows from Theorem 5.1.3. □

Remark 5.3.2. If $\mathscr{S}$ is an integral model of Hodge-type Shimura varieties constructed in [KP15], then it is possible to show that there exist crystalline tensors (i.e., maps of F-isocrystals) over $\mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{perf}}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$

$$s_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \to (\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{A}[p^\infty])^*)^{\otimes}[\tfrac{1}{p}],$$

such that the pointwise stabiliser of the fibres $(s_{\alpha,x})$ at any $x \in \mathscr{S}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is isomorphic to $G_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$; *cf.* [HK17]. Then by repeating the proof of Theorem 5.1.3, one can show that the tensors (s_α) are fibrewise constant on each central leaf $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathscr{A}_x[p^\infty])$.

Remark 5.3.3. If $\mathscr{S}$ is an integral canonical model of Hodge-type Shimura varieties with *hyperspecial* level structure, then Hamacher [Ham16b] constructed a natural tower of finite étale coverings of a central leaf generalising Igusa towers considered in [HT01, Man02, Man05]. We expect that the existence of "Igusa towers" and the almost product structure of the Newton strata (in the sense of [Ham16b]) should generalise for integral models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties with *parahoric* level structure constructed in [KP15]. This question, as well as its cohomological consequence, will be considered in [HK17].

Note that just as the case of hyperspecial levels, in order to define various natural group actions on Igusa towers, one needs to understand "isogeny classes" of mod p points of $\mathscr{S}$; i.e., the existence of a natural map from affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties to $\mathscr{S}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ (*cf.* [Kis13, Proposition 1.4.4]). In [HK17], we need to assume the "parahoric-level generalisation" of *loc. cit.*, which is not fully known yet. On the other hand, there is a special case outside the hyperspecial case where such a generalisation can be obtained – namely, the case when G is residually split using [HZ16, Theorem 0.2] – and it seems quite reasonable to believe that the main result of [HZ16] should hold in more generality.

References

[Ber80] Pierre Berthelot, *Théorie de Dieudonné sur un anneau de valuation parfait*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), no. 2, 225–268. MR 584086

[BT84] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1984), no. 60, 197–376. MR 756316 (86c:20042)

[Cha05] Ching-Li Chai, *Hecke orbits on Siegel modular varieties*, Geometric methods in algebra and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 71–107. MR 2159378

[CS15] Ana Caraiani and Peter Scholze, *On the generic part of the cohomology of compact unitary Shimura varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1511.02418 (2015), 96 pages.

[Del82] Pierre Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, Notes by J.S. Milne, pp. 9–100.

[dJ95] A. J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **82** (1995), 5–96. MR 1383213 (97f:14047)

[Fal99] Gerd Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 117–144. MR MR1618483 (99e:14022)

[FF12] Laurent Fargues and Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Vector bundles and p-adic Galois representations*, Fifth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 51, pt. 1, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 77–113. MR 2908062

[Ham16a] Paul Hamacher, *The almost product structure of Newton strata in the deformation space of a Barsotti-Tate group with crystalline Tate tensors*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1601.03131 (2016).

[Ham16b] ———, *On the Newton stratification in the good reduction of Shimura varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1605.05540 (2016), 18 pages.

[He12] Xuhua He, *Geometric and homological properties of affine deligne-lusztig varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1201.4901 (2012).

[He14] Xuhua He, *Kottwitz-rapoport conjecture on unions of affine deligne-lusztig varieties*, Preprint (2014).

[HK17] Paul Hamacher and Wansu Kim, in preparation (2017).

[HP15] Benjamin Howard and George Pappas, *Rapoport-Zink spaces for spinor groups*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1509.03914 (2015), 75 pages.

[HR12] Thomas J. Haines and Michael Rapoport, *Shimura varieties with $\Gamma_1(p)$-level via Hecke algebra isomorphisms: the Drinfeld case*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **45** (2012), no. 5, 719–785 (2013). MR 3053008

[HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 151, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001, With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich. MR 1876802 (2002m:11050)

[HV12] Urs Hartl and Eva Viehmann, *Foliations in deformation spaces of local G-shtukas*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 1, 54–78. MR 2854170

[HZ16] Xuhua He and Rong Zhou, *On the connected components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1610.06879 (2016).

[Kat79] Nicholas M. Katz, *Slope filtration of F-crystals*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I, Astérisque, vol. 63, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979, pp. 113–163. MR 563463 (81i:14014)

[Kim13] Wansu Kim, *Rapoport-Zink spaces of Hodge type*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1308.5537 (2013), 60 pages.

[Kim15] ———, *Rapoport-Zink uniformisation of Hodge-type Shimura varieties*, Preprint (2015), 28 pages.

[Kis10] Mark Kisin, *Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 967–1012.

[Kis13] ———, *Mod p points on Shimura varieties of abelian type*, Preprint (2013), 89 pages.

[Kot85] Robert E. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure*, Compositio Math. **56** (1985), no. 2, 201–220. MR 809866 (87i:14040)

[Kot97] ———, *Isocrystals with additional structure. II*, Compositio Math. **109** (1997), no. 3, 255–339. MR 1485921 (99e:20061)

[KP15] Mark Kisin and George Pappas, *Integral models of Shimura varieties with parahoric level structure*.

[Lau14] Eike Lau, *Relations between Dieudonné displays and crystalline Dieudonné theory*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 9, 2201–2262. MR 3294388

[Man02] Elena Mantovan, *On certain unitary group Shimura varieties*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2002, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University. MR 2703394

[Man05] ———, *On the cohomology of certain PEL-type Shimura varieties*, Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), no. 3, 573–610.

[Moo98] Ben Moonen, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristics*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 267–350. MR 1696489 (2000e:11077)

[Neu16] Stephan Neupert, *Foliations and the cohomology of moduli spaces of bounded global G-shtukas*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1610.05935 (2016).

[Oor04] Frans Oort, *Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 2, 267–296. MR 2051612

[Oor09] ———, *Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties and dimension of leaves*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 465–501.

[PZ13] George Pappas and Xinwen Zhu, *Local models of Shimura varieties and a conjecture of Kottwitz*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 1, 147–254. MR 3103258

[Rap05] Michael Rapoport, *A guide to the reduction modulo p of Shimura varieties*, Astérisque (2005), no. 298, 271–318, Automorphic forms. I. MR 2141705 (2006c:11071)

[RR96] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, *On the classification and specialization of F-isocrystals with additional structure*, Compositio Math. **103** (1996), no. 2, 153–181. MR 1411570 (98c:14015)

[RV14] Michael Rapoport and Eva Viehmann, *Towards a theory of local Shimura varieties*, Münster J. Math. **7** (2014), no. 1, 273–326. MR 3271247

[RZ96] Michael Rapoport and Thomas Zink, *Period spaces for p-divisible groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 141, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996. MR 1393439

[SW13] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein, *Moduli of p-divisible groups*, Camb. J. Math. **1** (2013), no. 2, 145–237. MR 3272049

[VW16] Eva Viehmann and Han Wu, *Central leaves in loop groups*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1606.09444 (2016).

[Zin01a] Thomas Zink, *A Dieudonné theory for p-divisible groups*, Class field theory—its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 30, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, pp. 139–160. MR MR1846456 (2002h:14075)

[Zin01b] ———, *On the slope filtration*, Duke Math. J. **109** (2001), no. 1, 79–95. MR 1844205 (2003d:14055)

WANSU KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON, WC2R 2LS, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: wansu.kim@kcl.ac.uk